

VCD No. 428, Audio Cassette No.914,
Dated 25.4.06, at Dhulawadi.
Clarification of Murli dated 16.2.67
(Only For PBK)

Omshanti. The morning class of 16th Feb 1967 was going on at *Surunga*. The topic which was going on in the middle-end of the first page was- where have these customs and traditions that are prevailing in the path of devotion come from? From when did they come? The answer for “from when” will be “since the Copper Age”. And from where did they come in the Copper Age? Where did all the customs and traditions of the path of *bhakti* come from? Whether it is feeding the Brahmins, whether it is applying the *bindi* (the dot) on the centre of the forehead, there are various types of customs and traditions. Where did they come from? It would be said: “they came from the Confluence Age.” At present, when the Supreme Soul Father comes in the Confluence Age, He imparts knowledge. Those who put into practice that knowledge in their lives, perform practical knowledgeable tasks. For example, the topic of feeding the Brahmins was going on in the *Murli*; here also, Baba calls those souls, who were present in the *yagya* at the beginning and for some reason or the other left their bodies and went away. Those souls enter in some Brahmins. And they were questioned. Therefore, in the path of *Bhakti* too, this customary practice has prevailed.

When someone dies, their soul is called in Brahmins. Actually, all these are the real issues of the present time. Of when? Of the Confluence Age. He calls us souls, doesn't He? The Father calls the souls to explain (to them): you used to come in knowledge. (He) calls the souls to explain (to them): “You” used to come in knowledge. Just as Baba (sometimes) emerges some children and speaks to them, He refers to them as “You...You”, doesn't He? How the person in front is addressed? He is addressed as you. Similarly, He used to call the souls in some Brahmin and explain to them, “You used to come (to take knowledge) and how much I used to explain to you to remember the Father and become pure. He used to explain to them to remember the Father and do what. Become pure. O.K. would the souls who were called be special souls or ordinary souls? They were some special souls of the beginning of the *yagya*. He used to call them to explain, “You too used to come in knowledge. You were told to become pure but you didn't become pure. You did not accept”. Who used to explain? *Hm!* Did *Dada* use to explain or did the Father use to explain? Did the Father use to explain?

Hm! Who used to explain? Did the Father use to explain? Did Father Shiva use to explain, or did the *Dada* use to explain? Did Brahma Baba use to explain? Now your position has degraded. You did not accept (so) now your position has degraded. What is meant by the position has degraded? What does it mean? You came in the declining trend of the celestial degrees. Alright. While doing effort (i.e. for the sake of the soul) you came in the declining trend of the celestial degrees and your position was degraded. You became of the moon dynasty (*Chandravanshi*). What? You became of the moon dynasty (*Chandravanshi*). O.K, was it the final at that time? Was the final

exam over then? The final exam did not take place. Then, how did their position degrade? Was their position degraded or not? Actually, all those who are in the knowledge (*gyan*) and after following the (path of) *gyan*, if they come down in terms of effort, then their shooting of downfall takes place. So it was said for them too: “You were deprived of the position”. Are there effort makers (*purusharathi*) with such (karmic) accounts that after adopting the Brahmin life they do not encounter downfall? (And) *Maya* doesn’t defeat them? Everybody falls down and *Maya* makes everybody shake up and down.

Then, they were asked: you were deprived of your position. The result was given. Who would have asked this? Is there any necessity for Father Shiva to call the souls?

Will Father Shiva invoke the souls and say, “Come in him, let Me ask you something”? Why? Father Shiva is already the one who knows everything. He is *antaryami* (the one who knows the inner self); then who is *bahiryami* (knowing through the external behavior)? (Someone said something) *Arey!* He certainly went away in the beginning of *yagya*; it is just him we are talking about. It was that very soul which was called. So Brahma Baba asked and Brahma Baba himself replied, now you are deprived of the position, you didn’t accept. What? You are deprived of the position, (as) you didn’t accept our word. Those who had died before..., He then told to the children. He told the children in the gathering, “Those who had died earlier; then after growing up, someone would have become 20 years and someone 25 years of age”. Baba’s attention was towards two special souls and He also knows when they died.

The *vani* is of the year 67. Therefore, in the *vani* of the year 67, if we subtract 20 and 25 years which year will come? If 20 is subtracted from the year 67 it comes to the year 47, it will come to the (year) 46-47, won’t it? It means that there was a soul who left the body in the year 46-47 and then took birth else where. For that one, it was said, that one is of 20 years. And for the other soul it was said, that he would have become of 25 years.

So if we subtract 25 years from 67, then which year comes? It comes to the year 42. It means that child has taken birth in 42, and in the year 67 he became of 25 years. They can even take the knowledge. Those children can even take knowledge. What does it mean by ‘they can take’? The time that is going to come after the year 67, or around the year 67 and even earlier too, it might be so that someone among them might have already taken the knowledge and the other is yet to take the knowledge. Both the things are possible. It means that they can take knowledge.

If somebody dies now, even then... Those have died earlier. If somebody dies now, still 10 years are remaining. For what? For what is it remaining? *Arey!* This *vani* is of the year 67 and it was said that 10 years are remaining. For what are they remaining? For doing effort. At present if someone dies; they will take birth, won’t they? If someone dies even in the year 67-68; they will take birth, won’t they? If they take birth, then, how many years are remaining? 9 to 10 years are remaining. For what? For doing effort. Doing effort for what? 10 years are remaining means, until which year

was it mentioned? *Arey!* In the year 67, if 9 – 10 years are remaining, then until which year was the limit mentioned?

Until the year 76, the limit was told. It means that until the year 76, even now there is time for them to do effort. Doing effort for what? For doing what effort is the time of 9 to 10 years remaining? Yes, for doing the effort for becoming the world emperor or becoming *Narayan* from an ordinary man i.e. Nar even now 9 – 10 years are remaining. It means the children whom Baba had placed in front while speaking... towards which effort was Baba's aim? It was towards those children who were to become *Narayan* from *Nar* (a man) and *Laxmi* from *Nari* (a woman).

Just those children were remembered. Among those children one left the body in the (year) 42 and the other left the body in (the year) 46-47. At that time those children might be somewhere in the world; or it might be possible that one of them would have taken the knowledge and another was going to take the knowledge. So Baba gave a clue that they can take the knowledge too and having taken the knowledge they can do effort within 9-10 years and also attain the position of the world emperor. 9-10 years are remaining even now means; for what is it remaining? For becoming *Narayan* i.e. for becoming *Narayan* from *Nar*, 9-10 years are remaining. Or even now, the time for making effort to become the world emperor is remaining.

Then it was said, if any body comes now, they will not be able to take the knowledge. What was said? Both the sentences were spoken together. If at all somebody dies now, still 10 years are remaining, aren't they? Then it was said: now they will not be able to take the knowledge.

Won't they be able to take (the knowledge)? Won't they be able to take the knowledge in the year 67, (i.e.) those who leave their body now? Those who leave their bodies after the year 67, it will take 5, 7, 8, 9 years for them to grow up and be mature. Until and unless they become matured, how will they take the inheritance of the world emperorship? It was said in the *vanis*, wasn't it? "These *Laxmi Narayan* are stupid", and in another *vani* it was said, "These *Laxmi Narayan* are intelligent, that is why they become the masters of the world". So who are the stupid ones and who are the intelligent ones? Those who will become *Laxmi Narayan* in the Golden Age are the stupid ones and those who will become *Narayan* from *Nar* in the Confluence Age are the intelligent ones. Therefore, now after the year 67, in the 9-10 years that remain, if any child takes birth and comes again then will he be in the list of the intelligent ones or will he be in the list of the stupid ones?

If he takes birth and if he comes again after (the year) 67, will he be counted in the list of the stupid ones or will he be counted in the list of the knowledgeable ones? He will be a stupid one because in a period of 9-10 years the organs are not that strong and the brain does not become so much mature. So, if the brain itself is not matured, then how can he become the world emperor? To become the world emperor he needs to have a lot of intelligence. Hence, a clue was given that for those children who are yet to come now; those who will leave their bodies and come again, for them there

was no margin. But those who have left their bodies earlier, in the beginning of the *yagya* and now if they on growing up to 20-25 years, come again in *yagya* and in *gyan*, then there is a possibility that they can gallop within 9-10 years and go fast.

Those who will leave the body now will not be able to remember the Father in the accurate way. It means that those who leave their body after the year 67 will not be able to remember the Father in the accurate way. In the accurate way. It means that in the year 76 the part of the Father will be revealed; the form of the Father will be known, that this is the Father and this is the mother, won't it? So when it won't sit in their intellect, which the real form of the Father is, then how long will they remember? There will be no margin at all to remember.

But for those children there is a margin for remembrance. For which children? For those who left their bodies 20-25 years back. Those who would leave their bodies now, they at all would say to remember *Shiv Baba*; and among them if there was some smart child, he would understand the cycle of 84 births at the least. What? He would understand the cycle of 84 at the least, but as for the rest, to become the master of the world; that is not possible. Now the children know that by remembering the Father we will become completely pure from impure. By remembering whom? By remembering the Father. It was not said, "By remembering the mother". By remembering whom? By remembering the Father. The Father says, remember Me. Who says?

(Somebody replied, "The Father says"). The Father says? How does he say it? Where does he say it? How can he say it in the year 67? Yes, at that time when it was the form of the mother, how will it be proved that the Father says? "The Father says," means that he will say it through the mouth only, won't he? And when he says through the mouth, "Remember Me," then, what will he say- remember the mother or remember the Father?; [Someone said something.] Will he say to remember the Father? At that time in the year 67, was the Father present in the form of the Father? He wasn't in the form of the Father. Then how to remember him? It means that at the beginning of the *yagya* there was some personality, wasn't there?

Yes. Also for the personality which was there at the beginning of the *yagya*, this applies that "Remember my personality in whom the Supreme soul Father enters in a permanent form". So what will happen? Do remember. But this is not the knowledge- "Do Remember".

The second page of the *vani* dated 16th February 67. The Father gives us this direction, 'remember me'. This is not knowledge; this is called *Yog*; this is called remembrance. The knowledge is about the World cycle (*shristi charka*) as to how the World cycle rotates. The knowledge is about this. And what about *Yog*? *Yog* is different and knowledge is different. It is the duty of the children to remember the Father. That one is the worldly (*loukik*) father and this one is the father from the world beyond (*parlokik* father). *Parlokik* means that he doesn't belong to this world. Then from which world is he? He is from the world beyond (*Parlok*). *Parlok*? What does it mean by *Parlok*? It is beyond this physical (*lokik*) world. Which worlds are there

beyond the physical world? Which worlds are beyond this physical world? (Someone said: the subtle world). There is no Father at all in the subtle world. Beyond this *lokik* world, one is the paradise; even that, i.e. the paradise is beyond this *lokik* world, the vicious world, isn't it? Is it on this side or on that side? It is on that side, then that too is *parlok*. It is beyond this world. This one is the *parlokik* Father. Then in the Golden world which is beyond this world, will the *parlokik* Father be there or not?

Won't He be there? Will he be there or not? Will he be there? Arey! (Someone said something) *Shalu behen* (a Pbk sister) is saying after the establishment He will go away. The incorporeal father came in her intellect. And, this one here too is saying that He will not be there. It means; did the incorporeal Father come or did the corporeal Father come (in the intellect)? (Someone said- He will go in the 2036-37, won't He?). We are talking about the Golden world. We are talking about the golden world." Will the Golden world be there in the year 36-35 (2035-36) or will it not?

It will be there, won't it? Therefore, it will be *parlok*, won't it? So will the Father be there in the *parlok* or not? He will be there, won't he? The same thing was said, "That is the *lokik* Father and this one is the *parlokik* Father". In the *Murli* it has also been said that nothing is everlasting in this world. Only one *Shivbaba* is everlasting. So is He everlasting in the world cycle of 5000 years? Is *Shiv* Supreme Soul everlasting, or is *Shivbaba* everlasting? Baba is said for the union of the corporeal one and the incorporeal one. So then who will be everlasting in this world? *Shivbaba* will be everlasting and the Father *Shiv* is not everlasting in this world.

He comes only in the Confluence Age and goes back after finishing the task. He (i.e. the Supreme Soul) becomes a witness (*saakshi*) and He (i.e. the permanent chariot) becomes a companion. The souls of Ram and Krishna will become companions and the Father *Shiv* will become a witness and again sit above in the *parlok* for 5000 years. The Father says, "Remember Me". It is the same subject again. Which Father is saying this? Does the Supreme Soul say, "Remember Me"? Because, you will become like the one you remember. If you remember the Supreme Soul alone, (if) He alone sits in the intellect (if) the remembrance of the corporeal one does not come at all, then you will have to sit up (in the Supreme Abode) for some period of time. [Somebody said "No".] No-No-No! And if the Father says, remember Me; "so obviously He might be saying through the mouth, mightn't he? If we remember that Father who says through the mouth "Remember Me", then we will come in the world cycle of 5000 years. We will play an all-round part.

And we will come in the list of the *Suryavanshis* as well i.e. the ones of the Sun Dynasty. Our Father will become the master of the world then we children will also become the masters of the world. As the king so the subjects, all are alike there. What? If the Father is a master, then the children will be masters as well.

Therefore, the Father says, "Remember me". So knowledge is a different thing and the remembrance is a different thing. Do the children have to be told? Do the real children need to be told: 'Remember the Father'? Then why is he repeatedly saying that? (Someone said- Because they don't remember). They don't remember? This

means that they are not the children. It means, that up until the year 67, whichever children were sitting in front, were they Baba's *Suryavanshi* children or were they *Chandravanshi*, *Islamvanshi*, *Boudhivanshi* and *Christianvanshi* children etc.?

Were the *Suryavanshi* children present at that time? The *Suryavanshi* children went away in the early years of the *yagya* itself. Baba emerges those children and speaks to them. The *Suryavanshi* children who directly take the Father's inheritance went away in the beginning of *yagya*. And when Brahma Baba and Mamma leave their bodies; after Mamma left her body the *Chandravanshi* soul comes into the *yagya*; the one who is going to attain the position of *Laxmi* from a woman, for whom it was said she would be of 20 years now. And the soul who is going to play the part in the form of the Sun comes into the knowledge after Brahma Baba left his body.

Therefore it was said, "Should the children be told, "Remember the Father"? Do they remember *automatically* (naturally) or do they remember after being told? The ones in whose intellect it is fixed that we are the children of this Father who is going to give us the world Sovereignty; then will they *automatically* remember or will they have to be repeatedly reminded: 'Remember me'? (Somebody said: they do remember). They do remember then why is (Baba) repeatedly saying so in the *murli*? (Someone said something.) Yes, the children who were sitting in front at that time were like that. Like what? They were such that they had to be told repeatedly. Despite repeatedly saying it, it didn't used to come into their intellect as to which Father they should remember?

Therefore, there is a lot of difference between those children and you children, between the remembrance of those children and you children! There is no need to tell you. What? Remember me. Whosoever is the Father in front of you, in respect of whom you would have a faithful intellect; does he say repeatedly "Remember me"? (Someone said- No.) Why not? He said repeatedly through Brahma "Remember me". (Some one body said something.) No. Those children were the children of some other Father. Some were the children of the *Chandravanshi* Father, Some were the children of Father Abraham and some were the children of Father Christ. The advance knowledge doesn't fit in their intellect quickly.

The real knowledge, the matured knowledge doesn't fit in those children's intellect even now. That is why it was said: the children need not be told to remember the Father. The Children *automatically* remember the Father. And after the year 76, who ever will be the personality that is going to play the practical part of the Father; even he might not be saying repeatedly. Leave alone about repetition; he might not be saying even once "Remember me". He would never be saying, "Remember me". He will not even say, "I am your Father". The children will *automatically* recognize that he is their Father.

The *lokik* Father remains in the memory right from the birth. The mother remains in the memory and the Father remains in the memory too. Here they (the children) have to be reminded of the Father! Baba is becoming very much troubled. 'Here' means

where? Here meaning where they have to be reminded? Is it here at *Dhulawadi*? Here they need not be reminded. Which place is it about?

This is about the year 67 at Mt. Abu. This is about the time when the children were sitting in front of Baba in the year 67 at Mt. Abu that there the children had to be reminded (of the Father) repeatedly. Still they do not remember the Father. Otherwise they will remember Brahma Baba or they will remember the point (*Bindi*). Where do they have so much intelligence (to know): ‘who among us is going to become a religious Father’? When they didn’t know about their own soul, when they didn’t know about their Father, then the question of identifying the other religious Fathers.... (Someone said something) The *Dadi-Didis* are there now, after Brahma Baba left his body. Only after Brahma Baba left his body, the *Dadi-Didi-Dadas* came. They started remembering them. Before that no one used to remember the *Dadis* and *Didis*. At the most Mamma was remembered or Brahma Baba was remembered.

That is why, even at that time Baba used to complain sarcastically, some are Mamma’s followers, some are Baba’s followers, and nobody cares for Shivbaba! Such sarcasm (*durapa*) has been given in the *Murli*. *Arey!* Any body will care for *Shivbaba* only when the exact identification fits in the intellect. This requires effort. What? Remembrance of the Father requires effort. There is no such effort involved in knowledge. Understanding and explaining the knowledge is very easy, but it takes effort to remember.

Considering yourself as a soul, you must remember the Father. Eh! Considering yourself as a soul, you must remember the Father. *Arey!* Alright, you considered yourself as a soul. If there is a soul who is going to be converted into the *Arya Samaj* religion; he has considered himself a soul. Then which Father will he remember? He will remember his religious Father quickly. Father *Shiv* is not going to sit in his intellect quickly; it’s because he is an incomplete soul. Is he a soul who will take 84 births or lesser births? How many births will be less for the soul who will convert into *Arya Samaj*. *Arey!* Say quickly. 7 births will be less. Then the all round part did not fix in his intellect at all. So is he an incomplete soul who converts or is he a complete soul who takes 84 births?

He is an incomplete soul who converts. That is why it was said: first consider yourself a soul. Consider yourself a complete soul, “I am a complete soul who takes full 84 births”. I am not an incomplete soul who takes lesser births. This is a very strenuous task: To recognize “what I am, how I am, and in which form I am playing my part” and then to remember that form. Which children will take up this strenuous task?

Those souls, the children who take complete 84 births, only they will recognize (him) and take up this knowledge. It is a very strenuous task. So Baba says they cannot remain in remembrance. Even now there are some who say (with wonder), Eh! How can we remember the incorporeal point in the corporeal form? We cannot remember the corporeal one at all or they will say: we cannot remember the point at

all. 'Our soul is a point' even this is difficult for us to remember, then how can we remember the point?

All the children write, "Baba, we forget to remember" Why do they forget? All the children write this. There is no such child who doesn't say, "We forget to remember Baba". Whether they are the ones who take 84 births or whether they are the ones who take lesser births; every body forgets to remember. Why do they forget? It's because in the 63 births that they have taken, they have made numerous bodily relations and with numerous people and the intellect also got attached to them. Then, will they be remembered or not in the shooting period? Won't they come or not to clear off their accounts? Hey! Where are you going, first clear off with us? Therefore, they come repeatedly and disturb our remembrance.

Nobody says like this 'we forget the knowledge'. The knowledge is very simple and everybody remembers it. Remembrance is not called knowledge. Lots of storms of Maya come in this journey of remembrance. Even though someone is very sharp in knowledge and also narrates the *Murli* very well, but Baba asks: keep the chart of the remembrance, how long do you remember? Show to Baba the accurate chart of the remembrance. To become pure, only remembrance is important. What? Whose remembrance? Only the remembrance of *Shivbaba* is important to become pure. Why? Will we become pure if we remember only the soul of *Shivbaba*, only *Shivbaba*? Won't we become pure if we remember somebody else? We remember Brahma Baba. There are so many Brahma *kumaris* and Brahma *kumars* who remember a point of light in Brahma Baba. (Someone said something.) No. Brahma Baba himself does not complete his 84 births. He left his body well before.

So his 50 years are reduced. If we remember him, will our soul take 84 births or less than that? It takes fewer births. There was a shortfall to that extent in becoming pure. What? In the new world he will have to take birth as a child, as an stupid one. Therefore, the soul will remain impure, won't it? So it was said: "to become pure, remembrance is important." And whose remembrance? The one who is Ever-pure. Who is Ever pure? Is it Father *Shiv* or *Shivbaba*? Is it *Shivbaba* or Father *Shiv*? (Someone said something) Isn't Father *Shiv* Ever-pure? Why isn't Father *Shiv* Ever-pure? What is meant by Father *Shiv* and what is meant by *Shivbaba*? (Someone said something.) Father *Shiv* means *Brahma* Baba, is it so? You have spoiled the whole thing. Father *Shiv* means a point of light, the Father of all point like souls and *Shivbaba* means the chariot of *Shivbaba* who remains permanent from the beginning to the end. Until the new world is established completely that chariot cannot go back.

The chariot of Brahma Baba left in the middle. So we will not call him the chosen chariot. In the beginning of the *yagya* too his chariot was not the chosen chariot. Since the year 46-47, from the time *Shivbaba* entered him (Brahma Baba), from that time he started delivering *Murli* and then it was known that he is Brahma. Before that, no one knew even this much that he is Brahma. Then in 1951-52, there was the establishment of the Brahma *Kumari Ishwariya Vishwa Vidyalaya*. Before that, the name was "*Om Mandali*". Or was it *Brahma Kumari Vidyalaya*? No, it was not at all known.

So it was said, the remembrance of 'one' is essential to become pure. Who is that one? *Shivbaba*. Not Father *Shiv*, not *Brahma Baba*, not any other religious Father either. One *Shivbaba* for whom it is said "He is Ever Pure". All the deities will be called as pure and what would we call *Shivbaba*? "Ever-pure". Why? Deities are pure and *Shivbaba* is Ever-pure. Ever *pavitra*. Why is it so? Why is it said that *Shivbaba* is Ever-pure while for deities it is said that they are Pure. [Somebody said something]. Is it because He doesn't take birth? Then the question of pure and impure doesn't arise at all. The one who doesn't take birth at all doesn't come in the cycle of life and death, will not even come in the colour of the company of others.

If he does not come in the colour of the company of others then there is no question of becoming impure at all. When there is no question of him becoming impure, then how will he become pure? Someone is said to be Ever-pure, when while living in a corporeal body and despite coming in the colour of the company of many, his soul should not... become what? ... Should not nurture impurity. The other children, all the souls, leaving aside the chosen chariot, are more colored by the company. And the chosen chariot is not influenced by the company, no matter how many He comes in contact with. Who? Father *Shiv*. Therefore, the ones, who will remember the one who plays the role being ever pure and plays the role of Ever Purity, will definitely become pure.

To attain kingship, knowledge is important. It is important to become pure. What? To obtain the kingship is not the main thing. There are kings in the Copper and Iron Age too and they (too) obtain the kingship. There will be kings in the Silver Age too. There will be *Maharajas* with less celestial degrees in the Golden Age as well, won't they? But they will be of lesser celestial degrees who come later. But if you want to become completely pure, then what should you do? You have to remember that one. In this *Maya* creates obstacles. God Shiva speaks: "Children are very weak (*kacche*) in remembrance." What, it is not *Brahma Baba* who speaks. Which God speaks? God Shiva speaks: "the children are very weak in remembrance." Well! What kind of children? Very good children who narrate the *Murli* in a very nice way, but they are very weak in remembrance. And this weakness in remembrance pertains to which time? It is about the year 67; the children are very weak in remembrance, and what will be the result of being very weak? Does *Brahma Baba* come in that list or not? Arey! You are sitting quiet? In that list where *Baba* said that they impart *Murli* in a very nice way, of course, *Brahma* does not narrate the *Murli* himself, Father *Shiv* used to come in him and narrate the *murli*. Nevertheless it is said: "Can't this *Brahma* narrate *Murli*?" God forbid, if *Shivbaba* doesn't turn up, this *Brahma* can narrate *murli*, can't he? So he narrates *Murli* in a very nice way. But in remembrance he is very weak.

Then what will be the result of this? They will fail; they will not be able to become *Narayan* from *Nar* (man), they will not be able to become *Laxmi* from a *Nari* (a woman) directly - this means they are very weak. They did not achieve the aim completely so they became weak. And if this is the condition of *Brahma* and *Saraswati*, then the other children who used to study in the year 67 there in Mt. Abu;

will they become stronger? Will they become sharper in remembrance? No. Very good children who narrate the *murlī* in a very nice way are extremely weak in remembrance. If they are extremely weak, will they be able to take 84 births? They will not be able to take. Only those children can take 84 births, who are very sharp in remembrance. And the remembrance will be sharp of only those, who have true love with that soul of the chosen chariot, for 84 births. Even in 63 births they might have played a role with that father in various relationships. *Arey!* Those, who would have played a role with the souls of Ram and Krishna, won't they remember those souls automatically or not in this birth? They will remember, won't they? Those who might have played a role with *Krishna*, the soul of *Dada Lekhraj* for a number of births, will *automatically* remember *Dada Lekhraj*.

That is the remembrance of the mother. And those who might have played a role with Father Ram for many births, in whichever relation it may be, will remember whom? That form of the Father Ram will *automatically* come into their remembrance. They won't complain that they forget the face of the corporeal (father). Even if they see once, after that, they cannot forget him because the *sanskars* of the previous births are filled in them. The evil deeds will be destroyed only from this remembrance. What? From which remembrance? The one Father who is Ever-pure, only by the remembrance of that one Father, our sins of various births, *Vikarm* i.e. *vipareet karm*—means the acts done against *Shrimat* will all be destroyed. Even the unsteadiness of the organs will become peaceful through *Yog* (remembrance). The organs are unsteady, aren't they? Just like somebody is very hungry and the whole day he didn't get meals... And some have a habit that whether they are hungry or not, if they would have attraction for some thing and that thing comes in front of them, then what do they do even though they are not hungry? They eat it. Suppose a person is very hungry and his favorite item which is very dear to him comes in front of him then will his hand, his eyes and his mouth become unsteady or not? Even though his stomach is full (the organs will) become unsteady. So it was said, “the unsteadiness of the organs can be at peace through remembrance alone.” Whichever organs it may be, whether it is the organ of lust, whether it is the organ of greed, greed comes mostly in the mouth, doesn't it? The tongue is in the mouth, isn't it? Since the tongue is in the mouth, greed comes in the tongue, that I shall eat this thing. It becomes a habit to eat, eat and eat. Someone has a very bad habit of eating (always) and if something is left alone, they pick it up and eat away; they will not spare it. So this also is the habit of greed, isn't it? The Father says, “Except one Father, no one else should be remembered.” Only then will the organs be at peace. Except that one, however high the others may be, whether he may be the one who becomes Abraham, *Bhuddha*, Christ, whether he is their supporting soul (*adhar murth*) whether he is the Father of those supporting souls. What? There will be a seed that gives birth to the roots, won't there? So whether he is their Father in the stage of the seed-form (*beejroop*), even if we remember them our organs will not become peaceful. By remembering whom will our organs become peaceful? It's only one *ShivBaba* whose memorial is there in the temples. In the temple of *Shiv*, the *Linga* is kept, where is it kept? Just now an example was given, wasn't it? Someone has the habit of eating and eating. If the dinner, served in a plate comes in front and the thing for which he has very much attachment is kept in it, he

will eat it immediately. Similarly, there are the other organs too, if the object of enjoyment of those organs comes in front of them and nobody is nearby, then, immediately the organs will enjoy it. And Baba has especially told for (all) males compulsorily; all the men folk are *Duryodhana*, *Dushyasana*. There is a piece of good fortune for the virgins and mothers. Baba has left out some virgins and mothers who are *Surpanakha* and *Putna*; just leave them aside. As for the males, when they find solitude they do not resist themselves without becoming slaves of their own organs. So then how can the unsteadiness of the organs come to an end?

What method was told? Remember that one. Which one? That one whose memorial is kept in the temples. As to the memorial of the rest of the deities, in them, the lotus mouth, lotus eyes, lotus hands, lotus feet, all their organs are shown ornamented with the lotus flower. It means all the organs of the deities are worshipped. And Shivbaba's *Linga* is worshipped. The organ of lust, the vice - lust which causes a lot of damage; his organ of lust is worshipped. His ears are not worshipped, eyes are not worshipped, *Linga* is worshipped. What is the basis of worship? It is purity. He is Ever-pure, no matter if He is kept along with the object of enjoyment, no matter if He is placed in the plate containing the object of enjoyment, still He is the one who keeps His organs under control.

He is Ever-pure to that extent. Therefore, if you remember such an Ever-pure then all your organs will become peaceful as well. Except one Father nothing should be remembered, no one's body should be remembered. The soul knows that this entire world is going to be destroyed. What? Will the entire world be destroyed? If the entire world will be destroyed then how will the new world begin? Will the new world begin or not? Then how will it start when the whole world is finished off? (Someone said something) Yes, this whole vicious world is to be destroyed. O.K. the vicious world will be destroyed; and those who are going to become the vice-less deities, if we remember them, will our organs be at peace. (Someone said - No) Why? The vicious world is to be finished. It will be destroyed. They are not to be remembered. But those who are to come in the vice-less world, can we remember them?

They too will be destroyed. It won't be so that we will be seeing them through these eyes till the end. There is only one, whom no one will be able to see through these eyes, leaving his body.

They will see everybody else leaving their bodies. They will not see that one leaving his body. That is why there is a memorial in the path of *bhakti*. What? That *Shankarjee* doesn't take birth and *Shankarjee* never dies. Does he have a body or not? He has a body. Despite having the body, his birth and death are not shown. He is only one. (Someone said: *Amarnath*) Yes. *Amarnath* means the lord or *Swami* of those who are immortal, those who don't die. The whole world is to be destroyed and now we will go home and then we will come back into the capital.

This should always be there in the intellect all the time. What? That we have to go and after going we have to come back again. We have to come into our capital. The knowledge which we receive should remain in the soul, shouldn't it? Baba is of course

Yogeshwar. What? The one whom we have to remember, through whose remembrance the unsteadiness of our organs will become peaceful, that Baba is *Yogeshwar*. He is the *Eshwar* (the elevated ruler) of all *Yogis*. He is the controller of all the *yogis* who do the effort of making *yog* (connection). *Yogeshwar*. Who are shown in the form of *Yogeshwar*s in the path of *bhakti*? Krishna is also called *Yogeshwar* and apart from Sri Krishna who else is called *Yogeshwar*? *Shankerjee* is also called so.

(Someone said Ram.) Ram is not called so. Who else do they call so? *Sanat kumar* is also called *Yogeshwar*. The world which was created through the thoughts (*sankalpas*), in that world, Brahma's four sons have been shown at the beginning. Among those four sons, the one who was eldest in knowledge and remembrance; his name was *Sanatkumar*. He too is called the *Eshwar* of the *Yogis*. In reality *Sanat kumar* is none other. *Shankar* himself is *Sanat kumar*. So in fact *Ishwar* cannot be termed as *Yogeshwar*. Baba is *Yogeshwar*. *Ishwar* cannot be termed as *Yogeshwar*. Then who is *Ishwar*? And who is Baba? *Ishwar* is God the Father. The Supreme soul. And *Shivbaba* is Father *Shiv* along with a corporeal body. You are *Yogeshwar*. *Eshwar* will not be called as *Yogeshwar*. It means the point of light *Shiv* will not be called *Yogeshwar*. Then who will be called so? Will we call Father *Shiv* as *Yogeshwar*? She is saying that we will call Father *Shiv* as *Yogeshwar*. What does *yog* mean? *Yog* means to develop a relation. When the relation is formed, it is remembered.

Suppose we see somebody through the eyes, we saw them carefully. Then will that person be remembered or not? He will be naturally (*automatically*) remembered. Similarly, if we came into (someone's) connection with any of the organs, if we came into contact with attachment, then definitely that will be remembered. It cannot happen that you came into the company and you don't remember them. That is why it was said in an earlier *murli*: "The true company is very much praised." Truth is only one. Only one is true in the world, whose story of *Satya Narayan* i.e. true *Narayan* is sung. And the rest are; what are the rest? All the rest are false. *Satguru* (True guru) is one and gurus are numerous. If *Satguru* is one, *Sat* means true guru; if *Satguru* is one, then how are the rest of the *Gurus*? All the *gurus* are false. If we remember the false (*gurus*) then, we will take birth in the land of falsehood. And if we remember the truth, we will take birth in the land of truth the Golden Age. *Ishwar* the Father says: "Remember Me". Look! Once again it is said: "*Ishwar* the Father says." Who says? *Shivbaba* doesn't say. *Shivbaba* doesn't say, "Remember Me". Then who says? It is *Ishwar* the Father who says so.

Who is *Ishwar* the Father? Speak up *Navin Bhai* (a Pbk brother). Who is *Ishwar* the Father? Listen, it will be repeated again. Baba is *Yogeshwar*, who teaches *Yog* i.e. remembrance. Actually *Ishwar* cannot be called as *Yogeshwar*. You are *Yogeshwar*. So who is *Yogeshwar* and who will not be called as *Yogeshwar*. Father *Shiv*, a point of light cannot be called as *Yogeshwar*. Because how would a point teach. Will it hop and jump and teach *Yog*? No. It is possible only when it enters in some body, and after entering, may be through the eyes or may be with the color of the company of any

other organs, he can teach *Yog*. A *Yogi* forms a relationship; there will be different pleasures in different relationships. The relationship of father is from separate organs, the relationship of mother is from different organs. The relationship of husband and wife is from different organs. So love takes place through the organs only. So then, the maturity in the remembrance comes to the extent to which we come into the color of the company. The one who teaches the remembrance is *Ishwar* the Father; that incorporeal Father teaches through the body. Of course, He is *Ishwar*. But through whom does he teach? Through the corporeal body. So what is he called? *Shivbaba*. He will be called *Shivbaba*; the one who teaches us *Yog* will not be called as Father *Shiva*.

Om shanti