

**VCD No. 378, Audio Cassette No.864,**  
**Dated 28.01.06, at Nandurbar.**  
**Clarification of Murli dated 27.1.67 (only for Pbks)**

Omshanti. Yesterday, the murli that was being narrated at Surat was dated 27<sup>th</sup> January, 1967. The record played is – ‘*too pyaar ka sagar hai*’ (i.e. you are an ocean of love). And the matter that was being narrated at the end of the middle portion of the first page was – so much increase (in numbers) takes place through Prajapita Brahma. It is said for Brahma only – 100 arms (*bhujaen*), 1000 arms. So many arms are not mentioned for Vishnu or Shankar. Why are so many arms mentioned only for Brahma? There must be some reason. Hm? (Someone said – helpful arms). Why so many helpful (*madadgaar*) arms? Why Brahma has been depicted with so many arms? Why not Shankar and Vishnu? Shankar and Vishnu are praised and worshipped as God. So many temples are constructed; so many idols are prepared. So much worship takes place. Brahma is not worshipped so much. Then why has he been given so many arms? Why did he get so many cooperatives? Hm? (Someone said – He is not complete). Arey! They are not complete (*sampoorna*). Is it why he gets more arms? Is it why they become more helpful (*sahyogi*)? Yes, he has been given arms because everyone extends cooperation to the one who tolerates a lot, the one who is very tolerant (*sahansheel*). And people are afraid to even come near anyone who has a little strict nature. He is a mother. His name itself is *Brahm Ma. Badee maa* (i.e. senior mother). Which kind of senior mother? There are other mothers in the world; however their children may be; there are ordinary mothers also; however their children may be; they may be wicked (*dusht*), they may be vagabonds or loafers (*luchhey-lafangey*), they may be causing a lot of sorrows, even then the mother will never wish to separate them from her lap. This is a matter of ordinary mothers....., and he is the mother of mothers. So how much tolerance will he possess? Any child, who has received practical love from him, even if he has met him for 2 minutes, 10 minutes, cannot say that – Baba did not give me love. Even if he had met him for two minutes, even then, a sound comes from his heart, he says that the love, the affection, the vibration that Baba gave me in the world, nobody else gave me that much. So this is all right. Mother was a storehouse of love, affection, sympathy, and tolerance. But what was its result? The Sun of knowledge, who played the strict role at the beginning of the yagya departed. And all the heretic children took the support of the mother. Whether they were *chandravanshi* (those belonging to the moon dynasty), whether they were *Islamvanshi*, whether they were *Bauddhivanshi*, whether they were *Christianvanshi*, whose support did all those with a decreasing degree of soul power take? They took the support of mother. They did not like law. They liked only love. So will the issue be solved or will it worsen? (Everyone said – it will worsen) Any matter worsens in case of ‘only love’ and in case of ‘only law’ also. So a lot of arms of Brahma got ready. Lot of souls became helpful to Brahma because he tolerated a lot. He tolerated so much that in the end his heart also failed. He got such a shock that he could not tolerate. He lost his life. He tolerated to that extent. In spite of that he had a feeling of mercy towards the children. So many years have passed since he left the body; even then, he has a hope that children will get reformed. But if the tail of a dog is tied with a stick for 12 years, even then it does not become straight. Why? It is because those souls keep getting converted in every kalpa. And after getting converted they have been deceiving the Indians and going to other religions, other religious lands (*dharma khand*). They continued to cause the defamation (*glaani*) of Bharat and increase the respect and position of other religions. Such sanskars are recorded in them. That is why in this murli itself, in yesterday’s vani, Baba has said – these children are of no use to me. Who? The ones, who do not follow the Shrimat of Father. Shrimat means *kanoon* (law), law. What is our constitution? What is our *sanvidhaan* (constitution)? Baba’s *vani* is our constitution, our rules and regulations (*kaaydaa-kaanoon*). So those who do not follow the rules and regulations, and give importance only to love, and whose love is that? Is it a love of a bodily being (*dehdhaari*) or is it a love of incorporeal Supreme Soul? It is a love of bodily being. That love of bodily being can never make anyone perfect. Therefore he has numerous arms. There were 500 leftist arms also and 500 rightist arms also. Brahma is shown to have 1000 arms. He is shown to have 1000 helpers (*sahyogi*). Vishnu is also shown to have four arms. And Shankar is not shown to possess (extra) arms. In the temple of Jagannath, even those two arms that were there get cut off. What is this confusion? Hm? It is because Jagannath is the Lord (*naath*) of the entire world and Shrinath is the Lord of just the superior souls. Shrinath gives protection to the superior souls. He becomes their Lord (*swami*). He accepts their Lordship (*swaamitwa*). And Jagannath protects the entire world. He grants boons to the

deities as well as the demons. He wants everybody's benefit. Causing or wishing for the benefit of those with a good nature and wishing the benefit of those with a bad nature; what is the difference? It is the common tendency of the world – whatever is good should be mine and whatever is bitter is discarded. Therefore, should there not be a difference between God and an ordinary human being? (Everyone said – there should be a difference). What is the difference? God treats everyone equally. There cannot be any partiality in the heart of God, in the intellect of God towards anyone. Those who are good are good anyway, but those who are bad, should also be made good. If the bad are not made good, then the new world heaven will not be created. The entire world should become heaven, but the 500-700 crores do not enter the heaven. But those who can become, (at least they) can emerge. That is why it has been said – both law and love are required. Law is also required and love is also required. Brahma gave too much love. He displayed too much (love). Brahma's soul means the soul of Krishna. With whom is the zodiac sign (*raashi*) of the soul of Krishna compared? It is compared with Christ. Why is the zodiac signs of Krishna and Christ compared? There must have been some similarity in some aspects, isn't it? Christians also show off a lot of love. There is no internal love. But they make a lot of outward display (*dikhaava*, i.e. ostentation) of love. Where did the Christians learn it? They learnt it from Krishna. Krishna is such a character, who causes the *Mahabhari Mahabharata* war. In the beginning of the yagya also there was a dispute. He displayed so much love to get children in his favour that he himself got separated from Father and suffered sorrows for the entire life; he remained troubled (*pareshaan*) and left his body in distressed circumstances (*pareshaani*). There is a saying, "The one who is very sweet causes his own destruction. Look, the sweet sugarcane is crushed in the Kolhu (the crusher)." *Vakra chandrama grasey na Rahu*. When the moon is crooked, then Rahu will not attack it. When the moon becomes simple, when it becomes round, then Rahu attacks it. So one should not be very tolerant; one should not be so tolerant that you commit a foolishness like the Buddhists. The heretics (*vidharmis*), Muslims attacked. They started kidnapping the wives, children and these people raised their arms in defeat. Is it tolerance, is it *Ahimsa parmodharma* (i.e. non-violence is the highest religion) or is it cowardice (*kaayartaa*)? It will be called cowardice. We must tolerate only to the limit to which we must tolerate. And it is also necessary to face situations wherever we have to do it. Otherwise children will get spoilt. And those children got spoilt. The Brahmakumaris are also following the same tradition which Brahma started. From the outside such Brahmakumar-kumaris speak very sweetly; nobody can understand from their outward behaviour as to why are they so sweet. And from inside they are very dirty. Baba says that a person who is someone from inside and a different one outside cannot come close to me. What is meant by 'cannot come close'? In the phrase 'close to me' who is 'me'? Shiv. Is it Shiv, the point of light or is there any practical form of Shiv also? There is a practical form. The chosen chariot (*mukarrar rath*) is the form of Shiv, the practical form. "coming close to that practical form" means the heaven that is going to be established in the confluence-age, the kingship of getting transformed from a man to Narayan; in that kingship the souls of Radha and Krishna cannot come so close. All their followers cannot come as close to father as the *Suryavanshi* children of the *Rudramala*. Why couldn't they come? Why cannot they come? It is because there is no balance between love and law. If someone says – Where is the balance of love in Shankar? He is also lawful. If we observe superficially, he is lawful. But the reminder of *Ardhanaareeshwar* (half male-half female God) that is famous in the path of worship, half male form and half female form. What does it remind us of? That is also a reminder of the balance of love and law which is termed in the Avyakta Vani as the combined form of Bapdada. *Bap* (i.e. father) means Ram. *Dada* (i.e. elder brother) means Krishna, Bap and Dada – these two souls play their parts in a combined form through one body. Dada, i.e. the soul of Krishna plays the part in the form of a mother. And father? He plays the role as a father. The combination of both, *Ardhanaareeshwar*, is said to be a living idol of path of household. So now we understand that, why has Brahma been shown to possess so many arms? Why do so many souls become his cooperatives? And why so many souls do not become the cooperatives of Shankar and Vishnu. What is the reason? That was love, love and only love. That was just an outwardly showoff, showoff and only showoff; whether one may be suffering from within. One may be dying from within but is making a showoff from outside. So is it not like killing the soul? It is a killing of the soul, a suicide, isn't it? The soul experienced sorrows from inside. It gave happiness to others from outside. So neither the happiness can be complete for those to whom it was given, nor can it be complete for oneself. What is the correct way? "Whatever is obtained easily is like milk. Whatever has been obtained on seeking is like water and whatever has been snatched is equal

to blood.” It was as if the pleasure was given to the children under compulsion. Just as there are mothers, isn’t it? How does a mother sustain her children? Hm? It is a cold night. Child has urinated; the mother keeps lying beside the urine throughout the night and will provide the child a dry place to sleep. So Shivbaba presented a sample of this motherly affection through Brahma. And He showed to the world that mothers, especially in the Indian tradition, have a form that in the childhood they are under the father. When she gets married she lives under the husband. By chance if husband leaves his body, then the elder children, show their force (*hekdi* or rudeness). She lives in their subordination. As far as the inheritance is concerned, a female child does not get it. Now they have started getting it because ever since Shivbaba has come the daughters have also started getting the inheritance. Before that, for 2500 years, daughters never used to get inheritance in the Indian tradition. They were not entitled. They used to not receive inheritance from father. Daughter grows up to become a wife. The husband is entitled to the entire property. Wife is not entitled. She is *Ardhangini* (half partner) for the name sake. Later on, when the children are born; if the husband is not present; if he leaves his body, then the sons are entitled to the entire property. Mother does not get any share. Why is it so? When mother tolerates so much, then why is it so? Does she tolerate or does she tolerate out of attachment? (Someone said – out of attachment) So is attachment a vice or is it a viceless? (It is a vice) “*Moh sakal vyaadhin kar moola*”. Attachment is the root cause for all the diseases. That is why it has been said in the Avyakta Vani that if mothers gain victory over attachment then you can think that they have gained victory, they have become victorious. So it has been said that it happens similarly in a house also. The tormentor children of a house take sides with the mother. They are wicked children, isn’t it? Father does not tolerate. He will beat and spank them. Mother fosters them. So they take sides with mother. They do not take sides with father. So in the beginning of the yagya a similar thing happened. The Sun and the children belonging to the Sun Dynasty, the seed-like children, seed means father; all the father-like children departed and all the tormentor souls with a decreasing level of soul power, the Chandravanshis (souls belonging to the moon dynasty), the Islamic souls, the Buddhists, the Christians – all are souls with a decreasing level of soul consciousness, isn’t it? All of them took the support of Brahma. The yagya continued like this for a long time. The result was that – first Mamma left her body due to cancer. She continued to speak with her throat. But nobody listened. And Brahma also could not tolerate the attack that took place on his intellect and the pain that he felt in his heart. And he lost his life. Although he left his body, in spite of having left its body, that soul still desires the benefit of the children. OK, it wants the benefit of those children, but can it become world benefactor (*vishwa kalyaani*)? Hm? Had he become a world benefactor himself then his followers would also have become world benefactors. World benefit (*vishwa kalyaan*) means benefactor for all the 500/700 crore human souls that are present. A soul that causes benefit to one and not to another, cannot be called world benefactor. So that matter does not fit into the intellect. What? Which matter does not strike their intellect? It cannot strike their intellect that the God of Gita can be someone else. It is not fitting into the intellect of the soul of Krishna himself that there is a God of Gita in corporeal form....Even if it fits into his intellect it will fit to the extent that the God of Gita is incorporeal point of light Shiv. If God of Gita is incorporeal point of light Shiv, then he is the *Gitapati* (i.e.husband of Gita) God, isn’t it? If he is *Gitapati*, then will he be corporeal or incorporeal? (Someone said – he would be corporeal). Shiv is a point of light. Children of a point are points. That is a relationship of a father and a child. Shiv cannot have any other relationship with the point of light souls. Which is the only relationship that can be established? Soul and the Supreme Soul, Father and children. Other relationships will be established when he enters into a corporeal body. The relationship of husband is also established at that time only. So the relationships that are formed, the connection of those relationships is with the organs. There is a special love through some or the other organ, on the basis of which those relationships are established. So the relationship of husband can also be formed only when that Supreme Soul enters in a corporeal form. So there is also a mother Gita in corporeal form. There is also a *Gitapati* God in corporeal form. In the beginning of the yagya, there was a mother Gita, in whom Supreme Soul Shiv had entered and narrated the clarification of the divine visions of Brahma Baba. To whom? To Prajapita. The Supreme Soul entered into Prajapita and narrated the secrets of the divine visions. Mother Gita heard it and narrated it to others. So who was the one who controlled Mother Gita? It was Prajapita, isn’t it? So the one who is controller must be the husband, isn’t it? He is the husband. Now if it fits into the intellect of mother Gita also that the God of Gita is Krishna, then what will be the result? Will it be a sinful deed or a good



deed? It will be a sin. If the relationship of mother and a child turns into the relationship of a husband (and wife), then it is an atrocity. Ever since this mistake is committed, the decline of yagya begins. Now, even now, it is not fitting into the intellect of the real Jagdamba, in whom the soul of Krishna, i.e. the soul of Brahma enters and plays its role; that the God of Gita is not Krishna; form of Dada Lekhraj is not the God of Gita. God of Gita is Shiv Shankar Bholeynath. If this puzzle gets solved, if this puzzle is solved in the intellect of one soul, then everybody will be benefited. That is why it has been said – When ‘one’ becomes sinful then everyone becomes sinful. When ‘one’ becomes pure, then everyone becomes pure. She becomes the base (*aadhaar*). Who? Mother. Base means Earth (*dharani*). Just as everyone is based on the Earth. Nobody can stay in the air. Nobody can stay in the sky. Everybody is based on the Earth. Even in the water, one cannot remain static at one place. Earth is only element among the five elements on which everyone is based. And this is mother earth. Just as a mother has a small form first, a form of a daughter when she is young. Even when she grows up, she has a slender body. Then, when she becomes a mother, then her body starts growing slowly (to spread), when she becomes vicious. When she gets transformed from a virgin to a vicious person then her body starts growing (to spread). Similar is the case with Earth. Until Earth had a form of a virgin in the Golden and Silver Age; till then, because of being viceless, there was only one continent, a small place of India in the entire world, where deities got sustenance in heaven. It was ‘a slender form’. And as soon as the vicious souls entered; when Abraham, Buddha, Christ entered, 2500 years ago in the Copper Age, they created dualism. Ever since this dualism starts, two kingdoms begin, two religions begin, two opinions begin, and the form of Vishnu that existed in the Golden and Silver Age becomes weak, disintegrates. All the husbands and wives keep fighting with each other in every household. That form of Vishnu cannot be established. It means that the path of renunciation begins from that time. The household disintegrates. What was the reason for the division of the household? *Vikriti* (i.e. defect), *vikaar* (i.e. vices). When a virgin becomes vicious, then she becomes defective (*vikrit*). When she becomes defective, she becomes sorrowful.

So this Earth was also limited to the land of India only (*Bharat khand*). There was no other land (*khand*) on this Earth. Ever since these people of Islam, the Buddhists and the Christians started coming, then, with the arrival of the people of Islam, the Arab countries (i.e. lands) also emerged. With the arrival of Mahatma Buddha countries like China, Japan and the Eastern countries also emerged. The form of Earth increased. Then, when Christ arrived, Europe and America also emerged from the ocean bed. The Earth expanded so much. But it became defective (*vikrit*). What? It no longer remained as attractive as it used to be. Earth no longer remained as attractive or the form of nature did not remain as pure as it was at the beginning of the Golden Age. It used to be so pleasant. Nobody had to do any job or business. Nature used to provide everything to eat, drink, wear, and cover. And now? Now the entire family earns. Entire family is rubbing its nose for the sake of filling the stomach. Even then it is not enough. And whatever the family is eating is also mixed with chemicals. By eating those chemical-mixed foodgrains, fruits and vegetables, the intellect is becoming even more corrupt. That chemical is being used in the fields also. Chemical means fertilizer (*khaad*). Baba says – After the Golden Age, mixturity takes place in the Silver, Copper and Iron Age. What? The mixturity of silver took place in the *Tretayug* (Silver Age). If silver is mixed in gold then it becomes a mix (i.e. an alloy), does it not? The cost will get reduced. The mixturity of copper took place in *Dwapar* (Copper Age). The cost reduced further. Then the mixturity of Iron was added in *Kaliyug* (Iron Age). Similarly the defective souls descend from above. So, much more defect took place. So, by adding this fertilizer, will the Earth become infertile (*banjar*) or will it become fertile (*upjaau*)? This Earth is becoming infertile. How much fertilizer, Urea should be added this year. A name of ‘Urea’ was coined. What? Urine. Urea is coined from Urine. Urine means *Peshaab* (the Hindi word for urine). These are progeny of *Peshaab*, isn’t it? Whose progeny (*aulaad*) is today’s world? It is a progeny of urine (*peshaab*). Whose progeny used to be in the Golden Age? It used to be the progeny of righteous organs. Progeny of divine vision. Deities had developed a divine vision. Even today they are shown to possess large and nice eyes. So the righteous (*shreshtha*) children who used to be born, must have had such good vibrations. With that vibration, all the five elements of the nature had become pleasant. Today, today every person, every soul looks at each other with a vision of suspicion. When a suspicion is created then a doubting soul will get destroyed (*sanshay aatma vinashyantey*). It will itself move towards destruction and also takes others towards destruction. So the more fertilizer (mixturity) is added to the Earth, whether it is living Earth or the non-living Earth; Mixturity (*khaad* or fertilizer) means the

mixture of vices, mixture of adultery (*vyabhichaar*). The more this mixture gets added, the more the sorrows of human beings are increasing. There is nobody to correct this. The Supreme Soul comes and teaches the path. Father comes and tells – Children, now stop adding this mixture. Be pure, be yogi. And there is no other way except this. Focus your intellect on one. What happened since the Copper Age? You started attaching your intellect with many; you started attaching your vision with many. The vision became defective, the intellect also became defective, the organs also became defective. Now there is no power in the organs even to enjoy the pleasures. The pleasure has become momentary (*kshanbhangur*). Deities used to enjoy pleasures for 24 hours. They never used to get tired. Therefore there used to be no night. Now if the night fails to come, then man will become troubled. There is so much of exhaustion, and will there be no night? If there is night, then one gets a little relief. There used to be no night there. Just as the North Pole and the South Pole are such places on Earth, where there is day for 6 months and night for 6 months. So similarly, the deities were continuously in day for 2500 years. There is no darkness at all there. And now, from the Copper and Iron Age, when the dualism begins, the darkness of ignorance begins in the world. Someone speaks the truth; one party is speaking the truth. And another party speaks something else in its opposition. So the listener gets into confusion whether this is true or that is true? Today's advocates prove even the true cases as false. So when the circumstance becomes such, then the world moves towards downfall and the truth (*satya*) gets hidden. Falsehood (*asatya*) emerges out. This was the reason that Brahma got thousand arms, but the truth started hiding. Although the true Saibaba Shiv is speaking in the murlis, but the truth in the *vanies* remained hidden. Why did it remain concealed? It is because the intellect became defective. When the intellect goes on becoming defective, it does not grasp the true meaning. The truth will not come out. When the truth will not come out at all, when it will not get revealed, then will the true Sai Baba, Shivbaba get revealed? First the truth will get revealed. Truth itself is called knowledge. It is said *Satyam Shivam Sundaram*. *Satya* (truth) and *asatya* (falsehood) – it is a fight between these two only. Truth is Shiv, Father Ram Shiv, He is truth and Ravan is falsehood. Falsehood means ignorance. And truth means knowledge. Truth is called 'gyaan', i.e. knowledge. 'Jaan', 'jaan' means 'jaankaari', i.e. information. Information about what? Information of truth. So when the truth reaches its perfect (*sampann*) stage, then the disclosure (*bhandaphod*) of falsehood takes place. Even now the truth has not got revealed completely. Which matter has been left out in the revelation of truth? God of Gita. Everything depends on God. The *Gitapati Bhagwan* (God, the husband of Gita) has been hidden. In the beginning of the Copper Age, in the very beginning, it was not so. In the beginning of the Copper Age, there was some purity (*saatwikta*). That is why great scholars (*vidvaan*), the Western scholars have also said this. The European scholars have written in their scriptures that initially Gita was a *niraakaarvaadi rachana*, (i.e. a write-up that advocated God to be incorporeal). Later on the worshippers of corporeal Krishna, who loved the form of Krishna more, they added the name of Krishna in that. This is what Baba tells. The intellect is not used on this one issue. On the one side, they say that – God of Gita is not Krishna. Now they have stopped publishing those books also. Those books which say that Krishna is not the God of Gita are not given outside because the Gurus of the outside world will get upset on this issue. They do not want to upset them. So they conceal the truth. So now, now they have stopped explaining this point itself. What? That Krishna is not the God of Gita. But they have stopped explaining also for the reason that this matter is coming to them from the Advance party that the God of Gita is Krishna alias Dada Lekhraj. He is the God of Gita in corporeal form. Otherwise, who is the God of Gita in corporeal form, the one you have made the God; whom have you made the corporeal God? What is the corporeal form of God? Who did Brahmakumaris believe to be the God of Gita in corporeal form? It was Dada Lekhraj Brahma. And the very soul of Dada Lekhraj Brahma is the soul of Krishna. In that case, Krishna only became the God of Gita. Putting the intellect in an illusion; no, God of Gita is a point, and Krishna is not the God of Gita. Arey! If He is God of Gita, He is called *Gitapati Bhagwaan*, so He is her husband, her controller, isn't it? If it fits into the intellect of Gita that He is not my controller, Krishna alone is my controller, then whom will she follow? She will start following the opinion of the child. And if she follows the opinion of the child, then children are children only anyway. Whether it is the soul of Brahma, whether it is the soul of Abraham, whether it is the soul of Christ, all these are children. All these are embodied children (*dehdhaari bachhey*). By following the opinion of the bodily beings, by loving the bodily beings, the result will be downfall. It cannot result in upliftment. Upliftment is possible only when that complete form i.e. the one who remains in incorporeal stage is recognised. So for that complete form, it has

been said, the highest of all Shivbaba. Nobody knows Him at all. ‘Nobody knows’ means that those who know, their intellect keeps passing through periods of doubt and faithlessness (*anishchay*). In one moment, they develop the faith that this is the true corporeal form of God and in another moment, they develop faithlessness. That is why it has been said in the murli – the part (i.e. role) of Shankar is so wonderful that even you children would not be able to understand it. It is such a wonderful part. That is why God is called *vichitra*, i.e. formless. What? He is a *vichitra partdhaari* (actor). He is *vichitra* means that nobody can take His photo. The intellect keeps wavering.

Therefore, Vishnu, Shankar will not be said to possess so many arms. Why are so many arms mentioned for Brahma only? This is the entire dynasty (*vanshaval*i) of Prajapita Brahma, isn’t it? It is not a matter of arms. For whom? (Someone said something) No! It has been mentioned about Prajapita that – the arms will not be said to belong to Prajapita – it will not be said that 500 crores, 700 crores arms become his cooperatives (*sahyogi*). They don’t become his cooperatives. Whose cooperatives do they become? They become the cooperatives of Brahma. Although they say that – he is a thousand-armed Brahma, but they do not understand the meaning that what is the meaning of a thousand-armed Brahma? The listeners also keep telling ‘yes, yes’. You now observe practically that how many arms does Brahma have? The dynasty of Prajapita Brahma is very large. It is an unlimited dynasty. It means that no other soul has such a big geneology of 500/700 crores. Christ must be having a dynasty of 100/150 crores in the world, but even Christ cannot have as large a dynasty as that of Prajapita. It is an unlimited dynasty. And these are the arms. What? These are not 500 crores. How many are there? Thousand arms are famous. Yes, these are the arms. At this time there are 500 crore human beings in corporeal form, but 500 crore human beings do not become the cooperatives of ‘one’. So, there are 100 crore, ten hundred crore arms, isn’t it? At this time, there are 500 crore human beings in corporeal form, so there are ten hundred crore arms, isn’t it? Everyone believes in Prajapita. It means that everyone believes in Adam, Aadam, the one, who is the father of the entire world. But nobody knows the occupation of the ‘one’, whom they believe. Who do they believe? No one except the Brahmasamajis believes in Brahma. Those belonging to the Vaishnav community will not believe. Those belonging to the Shaiv community will not believe. But, the entire human world, people of all the religions believe in Adam, Aadam. So they believe in Prajapita, but nobody knows the occupation. As to what did he do that everyone believes him? OK, they are not able to become cooperatives (*sahyogi*). They do not have that much power to become his cooperatives. Suppose there is a very big revolutionary (*kraantikaari*) person, is it not; like Subhash Chandra Bose was there; so, why didn’t all the 40 crore Indians, who existed at that time, join his army? Why did very few people emerge? Was his path wrong? His path was not wrong. His path was correct. People could not become his cooperatives. And what about Gandhiji? A song has been written – “40 koti kay pita chaley, 40 koti kay praan chaley, 40 koti hath bhaagon kay Radhavallabh Shri Praan chaley.” { The father of the forty crores has left, the life of the forty crore has left, like how Radha’s beloved left her and went away, so has the beloved one of the forty crore unfortunate ones. } Krishna is said to be Radhavallabh. Baba has also called him as Gandhiji in the murlis. Gandhi died, and took birth in the house of a big Congressman in Rajkot (a city located in the western Indian state of Gujarat). Now what is that related to? It is an internal matter also. What did Gandhi desire? He wanted *Ramrajya* (kingdom of Ram), but he could not usher in *Ramrajya*. Neither was it established nor could he establish it. So on whom does this matter apply? It is applicable on Brahma Baba, also in an unlimited sense. Brahma Baba also wanted *Ramrajya*. But he wanted *Ramrajya* (kingdom of Ram) and could not bring about the *Ramrajya*. It was not even established. Now all the more, further more *Ravan rajya* (kingdom of Ravan) got established. So one may prepare cooperatives arms, but that is not enough. One may prepare 100 arms, 1000 arms as cooperatives. That is also all right. But how many are to be made cooperatives? Should we aim to take the entire world along with us or should we aim to take only a few with us? The entire world should be benefited. Only a thousand should not be benefited. That is why in the temple of Vishnu, where Bhog is offered to Krishna, in the Shrinath Darbara, there a Bhog of *kheer, maalpua* (i.e. sweets) is offered. Hm. And the prosperous persons buy that. And in the Jagannath temple, A simple Bhog is offered – rice, pulses, kadhi. It is very cheap, but it is offered to everyone free of cost. (Someone said in a clay pot) Hm? (Someone said in an earthen pot) Hm. Yes, It is offered to everyone. So one is a benefactor (*kalyaankaari*) of everyone and one is a benefactor of just the righteous ones – this is the difference. So everyone believes in Prajapita. Everyone does not believe in



Brahma. Everyone does not believe in Brahma and everyone believes in Prajapita. They do not know the occupation as to what did he do? His occupation is not known. Soul does not have arms. What? Does an embodied person(*shareerdhaari*) possess arms or does a soul possess arms? If an embodied person is present, then the arms will be there. If an embodied person is present, then someone will become a helper. A body possesses arms. There are 500 crore brothers, so how many arms does he have? When there are 500 crore brothers, so how many arms does he possess? Hm? Ten hundred crores, isn't it? (Someone said – double) Hm. The account comes to the intellect, isn't it? When someone understands the knowledge completely, then these matters come later on. First of all the main issue is only one. Father says – remember me and remember the inheritance of *mukti* (salvation) and *jeevanmukti* (beatitude) which is obtained through me. *Mukti* means Paramdham (soul world) and *jeevanmukti* means *swarg* (heaven). Then there is also a praise of the Ocean of knowledge. He keeps narrating unlimited points. One cannot remember all the points. In the end, only the essence (*tant*) reaches the intellect. The summary (*saar*) reaches. In the end the essence remains. Which essence remains? Now the knowledge has expanded. When the end comes, when the stage of becoming perfect comes, then what will remain? *Manmanabhav*. I am also a point; my father is a point of light. The entire expanse (*vistaar*) will end. Therefore, Krishna cannot be the ocean of knowledge. Hm? What does ocean mean? One which is very vast, Earth is very vast. But if we look at the world, then is the portion of Earth more or is the portion of ocean more? The ocean exists in three-fourths part. And the Earth exists in one-fourth part. So it has been said – Krishna cannot be the ocean of knowledge. No one can say so. He is a creation. Creator is only one. He is everybody's father. Who? Hm? Who is everybody's father? Which soul is everybody's father? Hm? (Someone said – Shiv) Shiv? Is He a creator? Creator is the one who creates a new creation. The creation, which did not exist earlier, was created. Just as clay (*mitti* or soil) is not a creation. Soil has been always there, and will remain forever. The five elements never perish. But the pot which was made with the clay, the pot (*ghadaa*) that was created; so pot is a creation and the potter (*kumhaar*) is the creator. So Shiv is always there. It is not the question of Shiv being the creator. And whom will He create? Will He create souls? A soul is always in existence. They are *anaadi* (eternal). There is no question of creating them. But when Shiv enters into a corporeal medium, then he becomes creator. So he is a creator of the new world. Now the new world is not there. The new world cannot be created through Brahma. What is the feeling inside? Why can't it be created? Why can't the new world be created through Brahma? It is because there is a feeling inside that all these souls should become mine. What? They should become my cooperatives. So there is selfishness, isn't it? This selfishness (*swaarth*) does not allow the benefit of others (*parmaarth*) to take place. Nobody is selfless in this world. In the path of worship also it is said – “*Sur, nar, muni sabkee yah reeti, swaarath laagey karein sab preeti.*” (Deities, human beings, sages, everyone follows this tradition, everyone loves due to selfishness). Why? Those who possess a chariot (*rath*) will certainly have selfishness (*swaarth*). *Rath* means body. There is only one who does not possess a body. Who? Shiv. So when he does not have a body at all, then how can He be the creator? There must be some or the other chariot...(someone said 'into whom he enters.'). Among all the 500/700 crore human souls, there might be at least one such soul which will become equal to Him, which will become incorporeal. He comes and enters into the body of such a soul and assumes it as the chosen chariot (*mukarrar rath*). And by assuming that chosen chariot, he transforms the old world into a new world. So he happens to be everybody's father. He will give inheritance to everyone and take everyone home. Brahma is unable to give inheritance to everyone and he is not even able to take everyone back home.

The home of father and souls is Silence Home only. This is father's home also. What? Silence Home. And all the souls that are present in this world, they might have taken birth in however much peaceless world, still, that Silence Home is the home for everyone. Will *Vishnupuri* (Abode of Vishnu) be said to be the home of Father and children? Hm. *Vishnupuri* will not be said to be the home of Father. Is *Vishnupuri* Father's home? *Vishnupuri* means *Sukhdhaam* (i.e. abode of happiness). That is not Father's home. Whose home is it? Hm? (Someone said - Shivbaba's home). Then, where is Shivbaba's home? Shivbaba created it. The corporeal body in which Shivbaba comes, He does create the new world, i.e. *sukhdham* through him, but it is not his home. Had it been a home, then he would have stayed in it. Does Shiv live in heaven? When he does not live at all, so how can it be His home? Where does he live? He lives in *Paramdhaam*, *Shantidhaam*, Silence Home. So Silence Home is Father's home. And it will not be said that it is children's home. What? Will

Silence Home not be called as children's home? It is children's home also. It is father's home also. Everyone experience peace after going there. Just as when someone goes on travel, goes on a tour, goes on a visit throughout the state, then he experiences some difficulty in travelling. When he comes home he feels relaxed. So similarly, Shiv also comes in this world, in this sinful world and says - I have to come in the midst of such sinful children. I have to maintain with these sinful ones. I have to give them drishti (*inko drishti deni padti hai*). It is a compulsion. '*Padti hai*' means that there is a compulsion. But He feels relaxed only when He returns to the Soul world (*Paramdhaam*). So *Vishnupuri* will not be said to be father's home. Home is soul world (*mool vatan*). Why? *Vishnupuri* is such an abode of happiness. Why is it not a father's home? Why doesn't father like to stay there? And children also do not call him saying that 'you come here'. Hm? (someone said, 'When children live in happiness they forget Father's remembrance') They forget Father's remembrance. So is this a good thing? (Someone said - No, no) They are very selfish. Because Father does not want happiness. What does a father of 10 children, father of 15 children want? (Every one should be peaceful....) No. All my children, my grand children, my great-grandchildren should remain happy throughout their life, even if I have to experience sorrows in this life. For e.g. when the house catches fire, then if a father is truthful, then he will try to save all the children, the young and the old everyone. And if a father is not truthful, then he will think, let them die. Let me save myself first. So *Vishnupuri* will not be said to be Father's home. Home is the soul world. These are deep matters. What? What are the deep matters? The home is soul world from where all the souls come. Only the sensible children can understand and imbibe these deep matters. Nobody's intellect can remember such a big plan. Nor can one keep so many papers. If one starts collecting all the murlis that are being released, then it will be more than the space in this entire hall. So many papers get published. In that study also there are so many books. When one passes the examination then the essence of Barristership comes in the intellect. One becomes barrister for the sake of livelihood. They become for one birth. They get temporary joys. But that is perishable earning. Whether you become a doctor, a minister, a collector, an advocate, a judge or an engineer; that is an earning for one birth and it is a perishable earning. It will exhaust in this birth itself. And this study, the Godly study that Father is teaching is not just for 21 births but on the basis of 21 births, we get its fruits (*prarabdha*) for 63 births, i.e. complete 84 births. As the efforts, we make here for 21 births; the same tendency of effort making will get recorded in our soul. What? The *sanskar or resolves* of making swift efforts will get recorded within. Such swift efforts can be done only now and only the Father can enable us to make such efforts. Nobody else in the world can fill such sanskars in us. So they all enable earning perishable income. They keep coming closer to destruction. They enable earning perishable income and come closer to destruction. And the earning goes on reducing. What? The expenditure keeps increasing and the earning keeps reducing. You will say that the earning keeps on increasing. What will you say? Our earning is multiplying day and night. You will say that the earning keeps increasing. And all this is going to be destroyed. All the perishable income will get destroyed. Earlier, Kings etc. used to have an earning. Now even that is not available. Whatever little is available with some of them, will also be snatched. What? Now how is the government (*sarkaar*) becoming? It is becoming greedy. The poor people are becoming poorer. And the few rich people are becoming richer. Is the number of rich people more in the world or is the number of poor people more? The number of poor people is increasing. The number of rich people is reducing. Your earning continues for such a long time. Those advocates, those doctors, those engineers, the big engineers, for e.g. the ones working on ships, those working on the aeroplanes, earn so much. They earn lakhs of rupees per month. All their earning will perish. Why? What has been said? Why will it perish? Well, only about 1/4<sup>th</sup> kg of flour can be consumed by the stomach. Can anything more be consumed? No. All that earning is going to perish. Earlier, Kings etc. used to have an earning; now even that earning does not exist. Whatever little they possess will also be snatched. Your earning continues for such a long time. You know that this is a pre-ordained (*banaabanaaya*) drama, which is not known to anyone. There are numberwise among you also who imbibe the knowledge. Everyone does not imbibe the knowledge in the same way. Many cannot explain others at all. Why can't they explain? Hm? They do not themselves understand completely, so they cannot explain others. What? If someone understands the matter himself, then they can explain others. When one has oneself not understood then they will not explain others. What? There are five pictures isn't it? *Trimurty*, *Gola* (World cycle), *Jhaad* (World Tree), *Seedhi* (Ladder), *Lakshmi-Narayan*. It has been said in the murli – even the children who live close to me are unable to recognize me. Nobody has remained close to Baba more than *Mummy*, *Badi*



*Mummy* (Senior *mother*). She has also given the explanation of all the pictures. Explanation of world cycle was given, explanation of Kalpa tree was given, explanation of the picture of Lakshmi-Narayan was given. the explanation the picture of the ladder was given. She [never gave] the explanation on the picture of the Trimurti. Why? The one, who could not herself understand the introduction of father, cannot explain to others also. Hm. Omshanti. Baba Himself explains everything. Why should we ask? Why should we raise questions (*prashnachitt*)? We will remain joyful (*prasannachitt*). OK.