

VCD No.1111, Audio Cassette No.1597,
dated 24.05.09 at Bhopal, Part-1
Clarification of Murli dated 04.08.68

Om Shanti. The *vani* being narrated was dated 4th August 1968. The topic being discussed in the beginning of the middle part of the second page was, ‘the child who has become dirty will certainly remain disturbed (*ghutka khana*) from within’. It happens like this in the world as well as it happens here. Even this *Dada* has to worry because the children defame him. Therefore, *Dada* meaning Brahma has to worry. He thinks this child will defame me. The Father says: children, this is *boxing*. If you aren’t alert *Maya* will suddenly punch you and make you disappear (*faan kar degi*). She **will** make [you disappear] meaning, although you are following [the knowledge] now, what will happen in future? She will make you disappear in future. What does “she will make you disappear” mean? You will break away from Godly knowledge, [and] from the family. In the course of becoming great they become *malecch* (dirty). Who are called *malecch* in the language of the scriptures? People of Islam are called *malecch*. Their food, drinking, way of living, everything is like that of *malecch* (dirty people).

They become *malecch*. It is human opinions (*mat*) that make someone *malecch*. Human opinions are called unrighteous opinions (*bhrashtachari mat*). It makes you act in which way? It is a system of opinions [which teaches you] to behave in an unrighteous way. They start behaving in an unrighteous way through the organs. The unrighteous behavior is that of the prostitutes, of licentious people and the unrighteous behavior is that of adulterated people. So, that will be called unrighteous opinions or human opinions. It will not be called God’s teaching (*mat*). That will be called the opinions of bastards (*haramjaade*). Good heavens!¹ Nanak has also said: all of them are thieves. They are thieves in the eyes of society, they throw dust in the eyes of (cheat) the Government, and they also wish to throw dust in the eyes of God. That is why Nanak has said: *asankhya cor haramkhor*².

The *soul* of Nanak didn’t say this. Hum? Who said it? The *soul* of Nanak comes from above, does it come in the *satopradhan*³ [stage] or does it come in the *tamopradhan*⁴ [stage]? Every soul that comes from above plays a *satopradhan* part first. So, a *satopradhan* soul will not abuse anyone though it is the truth. Therefore the soul [that came] from above didn’t say the word ‘*haramkhor*’. Who said it then? The one in whom he (the soul of Nanak) enters said it. Those who have made the *Granth*⁵ said it, indeed. Who made the *Granth*? Whichever scripture [it may be], who makes them? It has been said in the *murli*: they have written their own stories in their scriptures. [The stories are] of which time? They have written the stories of the Confluence Age in their own scriptures in the form of a life story. So, the *soul* that comes from above is Nanak, and the one in whom Nanak entered, has abused [people].

When did he abuse them? When Guru Nanak said it, he didn’t abuse anyone. When did he abuse them? Guru Nanak came and spoke directly; all the religious fathers who come speak directly. Are scriptures prepared at that time? After 100-200 years, when the population of their *followers* increases a lot, they sit and make scriptures. All those scriptures were written by human beings. So when they made the *Granth* they said: ‘*asankhya cor haramkhor*’ because the soul of Nanak came and established the Sikh religion. When that soul comes, there isn’t anyone from the Sikh religion at all at that time. So, when there isn’t anyone at all, to whom will he say *asankhya chor haramkhor*? When Christ comes to establish the Christian religion there won’t be any Christians at all then. So for whom will they compile the Bible at that time? So is the Bible compiled when Christ comes or is it compiled afterwards? Why will they compile the Bible, who will they compile it for? At that time there aren’t numerous [people] who will read.

So, when Guru Nanak also came, that religion didn’t exist at all at that time, thus for whom will he make the *Granth*? Therefore, it will be said that he came and only established the religion. When the Sikh religion flourished, then later on they compiled the scriptures etc. When something grows, does it remain *satopradhan* or does it slide down from the state of being *satopradhan*? It slides down. The seed too becomes a small tree at first; it is *satopradhan*. As it

¹ *Baap rey!*

² There are countless thieves who live on money wrongfully earned or obtained; a good for nothing.

³ Stage of goodness and purity.

⁴ Dominated by darkness and ignorance.

⁵ The Guru Granth Sahib: the religious book of the Sikhs.

keeps growing; as it expands it becomes *tamopradhan*. Also, when a child is born...; a child is called *mahatma* (a great soul). Why? Why is he called *mahatma*? It is because a child doesn't have vices. The older he grows and comes into the colour of the company of the society [the more] he slides down from the state of being pure (*satvic*). Similarly, when religions flourish, the scriptures are prepared at that time. They are not written previously. All these scriptures etc. are prepared later on. For example when God came and narrated the Gita, it is not written at that time. After 2500 years the Gita is written by human beings. In the same way, other religious books are also made afterwards, when dualism begins in those religions. The Bible is also prepared afterwards. Whoever comes to establish a religion comes alone at first, later they increase [in number]. When they increase to hundred thousands, millions, [their] kingdom is established.

Now when the One Father has come, look, He is establishing heaven. When the one Father came, He adopted one [child] as soon as He came. Whom? Which one Father came? The Father Shiva came. So, whom did He *adopt*? (Someone said: He adopted Prajapita.) He adopted Prajapita? *Adoption* is done through the mother. Does *adoption* take place without the mother? So, how did He *adopt* Prajapita? (Someone said something.) In whom? (Someone said something.) Did He enter Brahma? Yes, in order to narrate the visions, to lay the *foundation* of listening and narrating, to lay the *foundation* of *bhakti* he entered the mother. That is why it is said in the *murli*: Was he Prajapita without becoming a Brahmin? First what should even Prajapita become? He has to become a Brahmin and who is called a Brahmin? The child of Brahma is called Brahmin.

Therefore He adopted one [child] at first. Whom did He *adopt*? (Someone said: *Adi* Brahma (the first Brahma). *Adoption* is done **through** the mother, then how did He *adopt* *Adi* Brahma? He entered Jagadamba, He didn't *adopt* Jagadamba. Whom did He *adopt* through the mother? He adopted Prajapita, the first Brahmin. [He is] the first Brahmin so [he becomes] the first deity, [he is] the first deity so [he becomes] the first *kshtriya* (a member of the warrior class), so he [also] becomes the first *vaishya* (a member of the merchant class) and the first *shudra* (a member of the lowest class of the society).

Therefore, He adopted one [child] first; later on Brahmins were born [one after the other]. Now, you keep becoming clever and go out [in the service field]. You children weren't so clever in knowledge at the beginning. Why? *Arey!* Are the small children in the *basic knowledge*, the children of *primary school* clever to that extent? ...you weren't so clever. Yes, [people] thought, there is some power working in them. Yet, they abused 'these ones' (*inko*). Whom? He didn't say 'this one' (*isko*). They abused 'these ones'. What does 'these ones' mean? They abused both Brahma and Prajapita.

For example Christ was crucified. Was Christ's body beaten up? Whose body is beaten up? The body of the one in whom he entered was beaten up because [the soul of Christ] himself comes as a pure soul. A pure soul cannot suffer any type of beatings. A *satopradhan* soul cannot receive any type of sorrow; therefore the *tamopradhan* soul himself has to suffer everything. So, the soul of Christ that came from above didn't do anything so that he should be crucified, that his body should be embedded with nails because the soul that came from above certainly didn't commit any sin at all. So who commits sins? The soul that bears the body, the *tamopradhan* soul himself commits sins. Yes, it will be said that the soul who comes from above newly receives happiness at first, he suffers sorrow later on. How can a pure soul receive sorrow first? You children know that his soul is a *beggar* now. Whose soul? The soul of Christ.

For whom is he giving all these examples? *Arey*, Christ's horoscope is matched with [that of] whom? It is matched with [that of] Krishna. The Krishna who is praised in scriptures, the one who is said to be the God of the Gita, it is an indication towards that Krishna. Is it an indication towards the Confluence Age Krishna or towards the Golden Age Krishna? The indication is towards the Confluence Age Krishna, that the Krishna who is going to be revealed in the form of a *prince* in the world becomes a *beggar* first. In which year? In which year is he a *beggar* so that the Father has to come and make a plan, a *five year plan*? In the picture of the ladder, where a *beggar* is lying down, a book of the Gita is shown under his head, in the old picture, and over it, it is written: the *five year plan*. It is a *plan* of which five years? (Someone said something.) Yes, after 76 it is [the year] 77, the year of completeness and the *five year plan* is completed from the year of completeness till the year 82. The *five year plans* of that unrighteous government are never completed anyway. The Father indeed comes and makes the [five year] plan only once.

What plan does He make? [He makes such plan] that by the time that one *five year plan* is completed, what does the soul of Krishna become from a *full beggar*? He becomes a *prince*. Like a *prince*, the son of a king; does he need to beg door to door? No. A prince doesn't need to beg because he became a *prince*, but what is the condition before he becomes a *prince*? He becomes a *full beggar*. Moreover, is this topic of being a *full beggar* about the outside world or about the Brahmin world? This is about the Brahmin world. Considering the outside world the example of Christ was given. In which form is the soul of Christ living in the world at this time? He is living like a *beggar*. They were compared because the horoscope of Christ matches with that of Krishna.

So, the soul of Krishna too..., in 76, in the Brahmin world, while doing the work of Brahmins... what is the work of Brahmins? To give knowledge and take knowledge. Whatever is the father's job is the job of the children. In the course of doing that job even if you have to become a *beggar*; because the world in which the Father comes, in that world the worldly jobs flourish anyway but the Godly job doesn't flourish. So, the children who stake their body, mind, money, home, friendship, family and become engaged in that job, the Father's job, have to become *full beggars*. Those who will become such *full beggars*, who will *follow* the Father, they will sacrifice everything in the service of God... [They] will 'sacrifice'... What?

They should sacrifice their wealth and what should they do with their body too? They should sacrifice the body as well as the powers of the body. It is not about taking pleasures through the body. What? If they do exactly what the Pandavas did with their body in the Brahmin world, not what the Kauravas did... What? They staked *Draupadi* and all their respect and esteem was taken away; they (the *Kauravs*) also used the money staked by the *Pandavas*. They kept [whatever was] theirs in their clutches, they used it for themselves and they took what belonged to the *Pandavas* too. They shouldn't do like this. What should they do? They should sacrifice everything they have wherea s the money given by others; they shouldn't have the wish to take it at all. *Icha matram avidya* (without a trace of the knowledge of desire). So, those who become such *full beggars* then become the beads of the rosary of 108 and are remembered in every religion in the world.

Is there any such religion in which the rosary is not rotated? Is there any religion where the rosary is not rotated? (Someone said: there is the atheist religion, isn't there?) Is that a religion (*dharm*)? Do they have any *dharna* (virtues put into practice in life)? Do you call this *dharna*: *Rinam kritva ghritam pivet*⁶? Is this *dharna*, to take credit and drink *ghee*? Will it be called a religion? You call it a religion? It isn't a religion; it is simply 100% irreligiousness on the name of religion. Just leave the topic of atheism aside. The rest of the nine religions that believe in God in some or other form, receive attainments from God to some extent. Though some receive the attainment early and some receive the attainment last, they certainly receive attainment in some *percentage*. So, the 108 beads who receive the attainment in this way become *full beggars* first. What? Beggars through the body too. How should the body become too? Although the body becomes skinny...; because it has been said in the *murli*, 'Let your body be in whichever condition but your soul should be *powerful*'. It isn't about [the time after] becoming a *prince*. It is about before becoming a *prince*. Will he be well-built after becoming a *prince* or will he be skinny? He will become well-built. Therefore he becomes *full prince* from *full beggar*. Who? Krishna. Here the example of Christ was given.

The soul of Christ comes from above and the one in whom he enters suffers the pain of being crucified. Similarly, the Supreme Soul Shiva comes from above and enters [His chariot]. The question of the Supreme Soul suffering any sorrow doesn't arise. So it was said, at present all the souls are *tamopradhan*, they are *tamopradhan* at different levels (*numberwise*). So, who made them *tamopradhan*? Now, 5000 years of the world are going to be completed, the world is becoming degraded in the end of its fourth stage. Who should be given the credit for making the world degraded? Who degraded it?

In this same *murli* it was said yesterday that there are three systems of opinions (*mats*). First is the teaching of God, second is the teaching of a deity and third are the human opinions. The teaching of God gives the emperorship of the world and the divine teaching...; who is a deity in the Brahmin world? *Arey*, the soul who enters Gulzar *dadi*, what will its teaching be called? It will be called the divine teaching. You certainly don't receive the emperorship of the world through the divine teaching but you receive lots of happiness of heaven.

⁶ Take credit and drink ghee.

Do you want to take lots of happiness or do you want to take the emperorship of the world through the teaching of God? Those who take the emperorship of the world create the *sanskars* for many births in their soul. Which [*sanskars*]? The *sanskars* to rule. They don't take in the *sanskars* of being influenced by anyone. They are called *Suryavanshi* (those who belong to the Sun dynasty), the *direct* children of the Sun of knowledge and the other are the deities. What do deities become in other religions? They *convert*. The deities *convert* and the one who is called the mother of the deities, Brahma, she too remains constantly influenced by her children and the one who is influenced is called a subject. Still, it will be said that it is number two attainment. It isn't the number one attainment and which is the third system of opinion? The human opinions. The *shooting* of those human opinions is taking place now in the Brahmin world; its *rehearsal* is taking place. Those who follow those human opinions will be called the ones who follow the devilish opinions. All those who follow such devilish opinions are the ones who belong to the community of Ravan (*Ravan sampraday*). Yes, the teaching of deities is not unrighteous. Whether it is the *vani* that was spoken by Mamma...; Shiva certainly didn't use to enter Mamma, or whether it is the *vani* of Brahma through Gulzar *dadi*, Shiva didn't use to enter him either. Nevertheless, will it be called the teaching of deities or will it be called human opinions? It is the teaching of deities. Even if you follow that teaching it won't be called corrupt teaching.

Human opinions mean unrighteous opinions. Why? [The word] '*maanav*' (human being) is derived from '*man*' (mind) and the mind is fickle, inconstant. So, the *dharnas*⁷ that are taught based on personal opinions, pleasing to the mind; neither do they have any beginning nor any end... just as when the mind becomes inconstant [people] begin to act as they please. They don't judge through the intellect what is right and what is wrong, what will be its *result*. So such human opinions are unrighteous opinions. Five vices are born through it. The five vices are present only in human beings. The five vices are not present in deities or God. The five vices are not present in deities at all and only the Father comes and explains this. What? Who should we *follow* in actions? Brahma. If we have been told to *follow* Brahma, did Brahma have the five vices in his *practical* life? Otherwise why will He ask us to *follow* him (Brahma)? Did Mamma have the five vices? No. That is why it was said, deities don't have the five vices. They don't have the five vices in their speech either.

In the human opinions, in [those] whose mind is inconstant, they have the five vices also in their speech. Whatever they will say, will they say it with a lot of selfishness, will they speak to obtain attainments for the body, will they speak in order to take pleasure through the body - it doesn't matter if the others are at a loss - or will they speak selflessly? They will speak selfishly. They will flatter [someone] and after flattering them they will have their work done [by them]. It doesn't matter that the others will be ruined [by it]. It won't come to their intellect at all: the ones from whom we took happiness are being ruined. It doesn't come in their intellect at all. So, only the Father comes and explains these things: what is human opinions, and [what is] divine teaching (of a deity). No one else will explain these things at all. They keep following the opinions of the human beings very easily.

What happened for 2500 years? They kept following the opinions of the human beings very easily. No one raised a finger at the human gurus [saying] that they speak in order to fulfill their selfishness. So, there was the kingdom of these deities at the very beginning. Who gave them this divine intellect? Who gave this divine intellect to the deities who had their kingdom in the Golden Age and the Silver Age? *Arey*, someone must have given it to them! Who gave divine intellect to the deities? He asked because only the deities will begin [the cycle of] 84 births. Those who take 83 births will not be called deities. Those who take 83 births or those who take fewer births than that will *convert* in other religions. Those souls who *convert* are not *direct Suryavanshis*. So it can be understood that they become the ones with devilish traits from the ones with divine qualities. Who? The Copper Age began... and Abraham certainly entered someone, [didn't he]? Was the one in whom he entered a deity or not? He belonged to the Deity Religion. What happened then? He becomes the one with devilish traits as soon as the Copper Age begins.

You yourselves are worshipworthy and you yourselves are worshippers. This won't be said for God. What? 'You yourselves are worshipworthy and you yourselves are worshipper'. In fact He is *ever* worshipworthy. He also says, 'I never become a worshipper'. Who says so? (Someone said something.) Does Shiva say so? A *bindi* (point) is called Shiva. Hum? Shivbaba says so. So,

⁷ Virtues to be put into practice in life.

when Shivbaba never becomes a worshipper, will He worship anyone in the *shooting period*? He will not worship anyone in the *shooting period* either. Will He bow His head in front of anyone? To bow the head means to bow in front of someone's opinion. Will He bow in this way? He does not have the *sanskars* of bowing in Him at all. That soul keeps confronting the people of devilish religions from the beginning of the Copper Age till the end of the Iron Age. He never bows his head.

In reality, I am not *ever pujya* (ever worshipworthy) either. Who said this? 'In reality, **I** am not *ever pujya* either'. Who is this '**I**'? Who said '**I**'? The Father Shiva said it and who is *ever pujya*? Shiv**baba**. What is the difference between Shivbaba and Shivbap (the Father Shiva)? Shivbap is the name of only a point and Shivbaba is the name of the union of the corporeal one and the incorporeal one. So, Shivbaba never becomes a worshipper. He never bows his head in front of the 5 billion human beings. In fact, it is his slogan, '*deham va paatyami karyam va sadhyami*'⁸. We can let the head be cut but we can never bow our head. Will these *sanskars* come in those who are *Suryavanshi* children or not? It **will**. So, it was said, 'In reality, **I** am certainly not *ever pujya*'. Who said this? Shiva, the point of light said it. I am not *ever pujya* because if I become *ever pujya*, then in the last birth, in the end, what will I have to become from *ever pure*? I will have to become *ever impure* also. Therefore, the one who becomes *ever impure* himself becomes *ever pure* as well. So, I am not *ever pujya* because no one worships Me in the Golden Age at all. Do they worship Me? It was said, they don't know Me at all. That is why I am not *ever pujya* either. Why did he use 'either'? I am not *ever pujya* **either**. Why did He use 'either'? It means that there is some other topic too. I am neither *ever pujya* nor *ever impure*, nor am I *ever pujari* (always worshipper). It was said, I am not *ever pujya*. Now you know Me. What do you know? You **know** Me, what My part is. What do you know? *Arey*, you know that I am neither *ever pujya* nor am I *ever pujari*. I am neither *ever pure* nor am I *ever impure*.

Did you ever ask deities so that they would say *neti neti*, we don't know? Did you ever ask saints and ascetics? Saints and ascetics indeed kept saying it [through] the scriptures. What? *Neti neti*⁹. They used to say, *neti neti*, we don't know. If they don't know, it means that they are atheists. Who will be called an atheist (*nastik*)? *Na-astha* (No faith). Those who don't have faith in anyone are atheist. Do atheists have faith in anyone? Do they have faith in their mother? Atheism has indeed spread over there in Russia.

When a child is born, he is put in a *nursing home*. The mother keeps working in her factory. She doesn't know where the child went [and] from where? When he grows up, he is sent to a *hostel*. Thus, the children don't know at all who their mother is and who their father is. And do animals know? The animals don't know who their mother is and who their father is either. Why is He saying these things? *Arey*, why is He saying these things? He is saying this to open whose eyes? *Arey!* He is saying this to the children. Here too, there are such children who don't realize at all, although they write on a *stamp paper* and then sign and give it, [they write]; 'you are our mother, you are our father, we are brother and sister [among ourselves], we are brother'. Then what do they do?

What do they do? Then do they behave like atheists in their life, do they behave like animals or do they behave like they were becoming deities? How do they behave? They are atheists. They haven't become the ones coming in the rosary yet. Yes, the Father has hopes on the children. What hope does He have? If they haven't reformed today, tomorrow they will reform. Therefore, they are atheists if they don't know. So, you didn't ask the deities. Neither did you ask the saints and ascetics. So the Father sits and explains all these things. No one except the [one playing] the part of the Father can speak [or] say [anything] about the depth of these topics. This is also an identity of the Father. What? No one except the Father can give such strong, deep knowledge. Why? It is because there is no body consciousness in God's teaching and there is body consciousness in human beings' opinions.

Third page of the *vani* dated 4th August 68. If there is body consciousness, then due to body consciousness they are unable to leave the five vices at all. These are such five ghosts which don't leave [us] at all. They even fight because of these. Now the Father says, 'if someone has a ghost, don't even look at him'. Hum? Don't even look at the one who has the ghost of anger. If your

⁸ Let the body be left, but we will not leave the work until it is accomplished.

⁹ We don't know.

eyes meet his eyes, the red of his eyes will meet with the red of your eyes and become one. The ghost will enter both. Don't even look at him and don't listen to him from your ears either. *Hear no evil*. Or else listen through one ear and leave it out through the other. Why do you look [at him]? If you stand in front of the one with a ghost [in him], the ghost will enter you as well; whether he has the ghost of lust or the ghost of anger or any of the other ghosts in him. If you stand in front of him you will certainly be coloured by his company.

Look how many disputes keep taking place in the world. There are *paccharmal*¹⁰ in the entire world now. This is the end of the world. This is the world of *paccharmal*, everyone will be finished because they are impure [and] behave unrighteously whereas you go to the abode of immortality (*amarlok*) for sure. Hum? Without dying? You go to the abode of immortality without dying? *Arey*, they say in the world too: we cannot attain heaven without dying. Then, will you go to heaven without dying? You will have to die from body consciousness while living (*jiteji marna*). Then, you will become *amarlokvasi* (a resident of the abode of immortality). First, Brahma is praised [for this]. Brahma dies in the abode of death (*mrityulok*). He is finished; then where does he go? He goes to *amarlok*. Brahma is finished in *mrityulok*, that is why Brahma is not worshipped, and where do you have to die? We certainly have to die here in *mrityulok* but while being alive, we have to die while living. We will make our very atmosphere such [an atmosphere] that it will become our world. If we leave our body in that atmosphere, will it be the atmosphere of having a faithful intellect or will it be the atmosphere of dying due to having a doubtful intellect¹¹? It will be the atmosphere of being victorious with a faithful intellect, so you will come in the rosary of victory (*Vijayamala*). You don't have any fear.

You have to become like these Lakshmi-Narayan by remembering the Father. Those who fight will not become such [Lakshmi-Narayan]. Will those who keep fighting with each other, who keep quarreling, become like these ones? No. They will attain a position worth only a penny¹² because ghost has entered them. "Few among millions and few even among those few" is said for this reason. Regarding the scriptures, there are legends (*dantkatha*) in them. There are theoretical stories. And your's is something *practical*. They have invented so many stories in the scriptures. One scripture contradicts the things said in another scripture. Just as when someone lies, he changes topics while speaking. He will say one thing just now [and] then he will change to another thing. They invent so many stories, Baba calls them cheats (*dhuti*). The No.1 cheat is the one who makes the No.1 scripture. What? Who is he? Vyas.

Who is that Vyas? Hum? Just now the example of Guru Nanak was given. *Asankhya cor haramkhor*, did the soul of Guru Nanak say this or did the one in whom he entered say it, when he made scriptures? Who said it? The one in whom he entered said it. Similarly, these scriptures of the Hindu religion, the Deity religion that have been made later on; who is the No.1 cheat who made those scriptures? (Someone said: Vyas.) 'Vi' means "special" and 'Aas' means "sits". He sits especially to do which task? Does he do any other job? Does he? Does he cultivate? Does he do *business*? What does he do? *Arey*, he writes scriptures. He just narrates scriptures. So, he is the first and the No.1 cheat who writes scriptures. He has created such stories that, listening to those stories, the human beings just keep falling, or do they keep rising? They keep falling. He has written topics of defamation in the scriptures.

Now, who has written this Gita? Who must have written the Gita and the Bhagwat? He said it just now... (Someone said: Vyas.) No. That one must have written the Gita and the Bhagwat for which a hint was given in the *murli*, 'they have written their own stories to prepare the scriptures'. Which means...? Who wrote the Ramayana, the story of Ram? The soul of Ram. And who wrote the Bhagwat, the story of Krishna? The soul of Krishna. The name of Vyas is given. Actually, there were the *gaddis*¹³ of Vyas too. Was there only one Vyas or were there many? Whoever sat on the *gaddi*¹⁴ to narrate a scripture, it will be said that Vyas has sat on the *gaddi*. So it is not about one [Vyas]. Now they have a devilish intellect. So, they have written everything false. Look, Ravan has done a miracle too. He has made everyone completely into stone like intellects. Who are shown with scriptures in their hands? Scriptures are shown in the hands of Brahma as

¹⁰ The one who kills animals and also eats meat.

¹¹ *Anishchay buddhi maranti*.

¹² *Pai paise ka pad: pai paisa*=fraction of a rupee now worth nothing.

¹³ Hierarchy.

¹⁴ A seat.

well as scriptures are shown in the hands of Ravan. So look, the Gita is false as well as the Mahabharat is false.

Is there a *Gitapathshala*ⁱ in a war field? When two armies are ready to fight with each other, will anyone sit and listen to the Gita in anger? No. This is also madness (*chariyai khariyai*). Everything depends on the Gita. Ask them, who established the Hindu religion? Then the poor ones become confused.

Tell them: did Krishna establish human opinions or did he establish divine thinking (*mat*)? Which philosophy (*mat*) did he establish? If we say he has established divine thinking, then do deities exist in the Golden and the Silver Ages or do they exist in Copper and Iron Ages? Hum? Where do they exist? There are deities complete with 16 celestial degrees in the Golden Age. He is called '*hey Krishna Narayan Vaasudeva*ⁱⁱ'. The kingdom of Narayan is praised in the Golden Age. The same Narayan... the name changes from Krishna and becomes what? It becomes Narayan. As for the rest, Krishna doesn't come in the Copper Age at all. If Krishna comes at the end of the Copper Age, will he establish the sinful Iron Age? Who will accept such one as God then? So it will be said that Krishna has established human opinions.

Human beings don't know anything because of having a completely stone like intellect. Because of not knowing, they keep abusing and becoming happy. What? They keep giving abuses, listening to abuses and becoming happy. Where does the *shooting* take place? This *shooting* takes place now in the Brahmin world. They say God is present in dogs and cats and in everyone. He is present in me as well as in you. Wherever I look, only you are there. Nothing comes to their mind. This is called devilish opinions. Baba understands: there is the ghost of anger in them, so what position will they achieve? Then He thinks: servants and maids are also required in the new world, aren't they? ...because a capital is being established here. The Father indeed comes to give an elevated thinking and to make us elevated. (End of the vcd; rest in vcd no. 1112)

ⁱ The Gita school.

ⁱⁱ Praises of the names of Krishna.