

**VCD No.1185, Audio Cassette No.1671,**  
**Dated 19.10.09, at Pune.**  
**Clarification of Murli dated 04.09.68 (only for PBKs)**

Om Shanti. Today's morning *class* is dated 04.09.68. The Father sits and explains to the children that God of the Gita narrated the Gita once and He will go back after narrating it. If Krishna narrated it, then he too must have left his body after narrating it, and had another birth. He must have become a child. He must not have narrated the Gita again. Now, God is narrating the Gita, i.e. the knowledge of the Gita to you. The devotees (*bhakt*) thought that Krishna explained, narrated the knowledge of the Gita. So, where does the *shooting* take place? (Someone said: In the Confluence Age.) Who performs the *shooting*? (Someone said: Brahmin children.) Which Brahmins? Even among the Brahmins, one [group] is [of] the Brahmins who follow the path of *bhakti* (devotion) and do not know or recognize God, then the question of accepting Him does not arise at all. People say that God narrated the Gita; then those poor people do not know what He did after narrating the Gita. The Gita is a scripture of *family planning*. Never does a bigger *family planning* take place. People say that the Mahabharata war was fought. Well, ask them, ask those Brahmins who follow the path of *bhakti* if Krishna narrated the Gita or if Krishna alias Brahma narrated it, then where is their Brahma? They will say: In the subtle world. Then Brahmins will also be in the subtle world, but the world of Brahmins is created in this world. Brahmins become deities. They change from Brahmins to deities in this world itself.

So, the knowledge to become elevated that God narrated to a man [to become] Narayan and a woman [to become] Lakshmi, was it Krishna who narrated it? It is sung: *He Krishna Narayan Vasudev*<sup>1</sup>. [But] they do not understand that Krishna himself becomes Narayan. So, the maker [of an ordinary man to Narayan] is Krishna and the one who becomes [Narayan] is also Krishna? How can this be possible? Whether it is [to become] a *doctor*, a *master* (teacher) or an *engineer*, a teacher is certainly required, isn't he? How can someone become a *doctor* without learning the study of a *doctor*? So, the one who makes deities is required, isn't he? Well, what should the one who makes deities certainly be? A *doctor* is required to make [someone] a *doctor*, an *engineer* is required to make [someone] an *engineer*; so, who is required to make [someone] a deity? (Someone said: *Bhagvaan-Bhagvati*.) Arey, when God (*Bhagvaan*) comes, will He make [someone] *Bhagvaan - Bhagvati* (God-Goddess) or a deity? What will *Bhagvaan* make [someone]? When *Bhagvaan* comes, He will make [someone] *Bhagvaan - Bhagvati*. Then how did we become deities? How did we become [deities]? Arey, there is definitely one soul in this world who takes on, holds its soul conscious form from the beginning till the end. The first Nar-Narayan<sup>2</sup> of the world is Vishnu. So, the end should also be like the beginning. Those poor Brahmins do not know that when the world of Brahmins was created, when the *yagya* (a sacrificial fire in *bhakti*, but here the spiritual family) started through the Brahmins, then, who was the *yagyapita* (father of the *yagya*) in that *yagya*. They consider Krishna alias Brahma Himself to be the *yagyapita*; they named him Brahma. What is the meaning? All the meanings in the scriptures are based on the tasks performed, aren't they? So, is Brahma a creation or the creator? (Someone said: Creation.) He is a creation. Who is the creator of the mother? (Someone said: the Father.) Where did He go? And is that Father corporeal or incorporeal? When Brahma is corporeal, then the Father should also be corporeal. This is why it has been

<sup>1</sup> Praises in the name of Krishna

<sup>2</sup> The one who becomes Narayan from a *nar* (man)

said in the murli that it is wrong to write Brahmakumari Ishwariya Vishwavidyalay. What should you write? Prajapita Brahmakumari Ishwariya Vishwavidyalay.

Brahmins should also know their *title*. It is wrong to write Brahmakumar. What should you write? Prajapita Brahmakumar or Brahmakumari. When there is Brahma - *brahm* means senior; *maa* means mother -, when there is the senior most mother, there should also be a father who sows the seed in her, shouldn't there? They say that Brahma Baba had visions. So, does 'having visions' prove that the incorporeal God Shiva entered him? Many devotees had visions; Tulsidas had [visions], Surdas had [visions], Meera had [visions], Raidas had [visions], but did God enter them? Visions do not prove the entry [of Shiva in Brahma] and even if it is proved, where was the need for him to wander? He asked his guru; the guru expressed ignorance. He went to Varanasi thinking, the scholars, *pandits* and *aacaaryas* (teachers) will tell him what the secret of the visions is. He did not get anything from there either. Ultimately, he lost faith on the scholars, *pandits* and *aacaaryas* and he, Dada Lekhraj Brahma, reached the person he found, considered to be the most intelligent, experienced, the truest and the most honest person in the world, on the basis of the experience of his life, his partner in Calcutta, where he understood the secrets of his visions. The sowing of the seed of knowledge took place there itself. This is why in the Gita written by the scholars, *aacaaryas* and *maharishis* (great sages), it has been mentioned even in that, God has Himself said: I am the Father who sows the seed. When God is the Ocean of Knowledge, then He will certainly sow the seed of knowledge, will He not?

*Bhakti* is called blindfaith. Devotees keep running with blind faith and superstition. In one birth they run to the temple, then they have another birth and run to the mosque; sometimes they run to the Gurudwara<sup>3</sup>; sometimes they run to the Church; sometimes they run to pilgrimage places; the intellect does not get any destination (*thikaanaa*) at all; this is why that process has been named *bhakti*. Keep running, keep running (*bhaagte raho*). Not just one birth, keep running for many births. Still, you do not get the destination. There is no question of running, etc. in knowledge; there is no question of going on pilgrimages. Knowledge means to know. Information itself is called knowledge. And will the information of truth be called knowledge or will the information of untruth be called knowledge? (Someone said: Truth.) When you know the truth then you will know the untruth as well. What is the main information about? The first and foremost main information is about the soul. Who am I? But who will give the information of the soul? The Father of the souls alone can give the information of the soul. And the Father of the souls is always a soul. He never takes on a body; He never becomes body conscious; this is why His name is *Sadaa Shiva*<sup>4</sup>. Will the One who always remains in a soul conscious stage always bring benefit or will He do harm to anyone? He is always beneficial. All the other souls do become numberwise (at different levels) harmful and beneficial, but Shiva, the Father of the souls is forever beneficial. This is why He has been named Shiva. The name of only His point is Shiva. How does that point named Shiva bring benefit? Will a point bring benefit hopping around or will it keep giving inspiration sitting in the Supreme Abode? Will that bring benefit? No.

*Bhakti* has done just harm. 2500 years of *history* of all the religions is available to human beings and the *bhakti* full of blind faith is practiced in all the religions, but did it bring benefit to anyone? (Someone said: No.) It did not bring benefit to anyone. Everyone's

---

<sup>3</sup> Sikh shrines

<sup>4</sup> Forever beneficial

*mentality* is falling. Let it be the people of any religion, everyone is fighting with each other; fight, jealousy, hostility, malice, vices are increasing. So, it proves that *bhakti*, which does the task of making people run, which does not allow them to remain stable at one place, that *bhakti* is not spread by God. Then who spreads it? Who starts it? Human beings who become body conscious promote *bhakti*. All the 500-700 crore (five-seven billion) human souls teach just *bhakti*. Why? It is because everyone has his own chariot and all those who have their own chariot will definitely have selfishness, and if they prove their selfishness, then they will make others wander, they will show the wrong path, they will see their own benefit.

So, where is the *shooting* of the *drama* of *bhakti* that has been going on for 2500 years performed? In the Confluence Age world of Brahmins. How does its *shooting* take place? Where is its original *foundation* laid? The original *foundation* is laid through Brahma. The *soul* of Brahma forgot the greatness of the personality through whom he got the secrets of his visions and considered himself as God, he thought: Shiva enters me; I am the corporeal form of Shiva. I myself am God of the Gita. That soul remained in the path of *bhakti* in one or the other form, didn't he? Wherever he was born in the path of *bhakti*, the topic of Krishna being God of the Gita continued. So, that *sanskaar* has become firm in that soul for many births. What? That Krishna is God of the Gita. The idea that Krishna is worshipped in the form of a child [and] his adult form is not worshipped did not come in his intellect at all. The form of a child that is worshipped, will it have a child like intellect or a mature intellect? He will have a child like intellect. And can the knowledge that a person with a child like intellect narrates be called Divine (*iishwariya*) knowledge? *Arey*, God is the Father of the entire world. Be it a Hindu, a Muslim, a Sikh, a Christian, *arey*, a *time* comes when even the biggest atheists of the world are forced to accept God the Father. So, what a difference there will be between the knowledge of a person with a child like intellect and God.

There are small schools, *primary* schools; knowledge is taught there as well, but people with a child like intellect are taught there. Similarly, when God comes in this world, He uplifts the souls with a child like intellect. They are completely innocent. But is it good to have a child like intellect till the end or is it good to become intelligent? (Someone said: It is good to become intelligent.) When the Father is intelligent, then the children of the Father should also be intelligent. And it is not that the children of the intelligent Father are revealed only in the *last* period, because God is also revealed in the *last* period in the world. Initially, God performs His task in a secret way. God has been named Pandaa (guide). A person who shows the path is called *pandaa*, *pandayati*. He shows the true destination; He takes you to the destination. Those who *follow* that Pandaa or the children of Pandaa are called the Pandavas. So, the Pandavas... are there Pandavas in every religion? The souls of the other religions cannot be Pandavas because they are not the sons of Pandaa at all. They have converted, they went to the other religions and the population of India went on decreasing; the population of the other countries went on increasing. So, did those who *convert* make India famous, did they make their Father famous, did they make Bapdada famous or did they make the other religious lands and the other countries and the other religious fathers famous? (Someone said: Other religious fathers.) They are not the Father's children at all.

Those through whom God the Father lays the *foundation* for the new world and destroys all the untrue religions of the old world when He comes are the true children of the Father. And He makes the one who has a child like intellect and the one who has a mature intellect [i.e.] the son and the father meet. What does the world do? What do the people of the religions, the religious fathers of the world do? They create a difference. For example, the

Christians came; the Christians ruled over India. When there was a lot of dispute, then they did leave India, but what did they do before leaving? They partitioned it. They created differences. Similarly, when God the Father came in the beginning of the world, the Father came and gave knowledge. The one whom He gave the knowledge first of all was named the sun (*surya*); he is also called Raghu. Well, when he has been named *surya*, then there must be someone else also who obtains light from him. Who is the one who obtained complete light from him? (Someone said: The moon.) He did not understand it but he assimilated through his ears whatever God the Father narrated. He did not listen through one ear and leave it out through the other, although he did not understand it. What? Is it the task of someone with a mature intellect or is it the task of someone with a child like intellect to understand? (Someone said: A child like intellect.) It is not the task of someone with a child like intellect to understand; it is not his task to explain either; but a child learns it by heart. He listens through his ears whatever lesson is taught and after listening to it, he learns it by heart. So similarly, first of all it was Brahma's ears which heard and then assimilated whatever versions God the Father narrated. But did he assimilate it without understanding or did he assimilate it after understanding it? (Someone said: Without understanding it.) He assimilated it without understanding it, just as the children of *primary school* learn by heart the *dohaa* (couplets), *caupaai* (quatrains having sixteen *maatras* per line) at a *basic* level. They do not know their deep meaning.

So similarly, Brahma Baba also certainly assimilated whatever knowledge God the Father narrated after entering him, but he did it without understanding, this is why he became the one with a child like intellect. Well, the one who has a child like intellect in the *shooting period*... was he an *innocent* child or a mischievous child? (Someone said: Innocent.) He was an *innocent* child, wasn't he? When the Father said that the biggest virtue of the deities is tolerance, did he staidly assimilate that topic or not? He set an example by assimilating it in his life in practice. The extent to which the titleholder of the senior mother, i.e. Brahma assimilated the power of tolerance, no human being in the world played such a *part* of tolerance. So, he did assimilate *innocently* the versions of God in his life. Well, [when] he became a child here, so who will become the first child when the *broad drama* starts? (Someone said: The child Krishna.) He himself will become the first child. So, it is the souls of Radha and Krishna themselves who become the first leaves of the human world tree, but as the other religious fathers came... do the religious fathers come in a *satopradhaan* stage or in a *tamopradhaan* stage from above? (Everyone said: *Satopradhaan*.) They come in a *satopradhaan* stage. So, do whatever they narrate, whatever they speak, be good or will they *mix* [something bad in it]? They speak only good [things]. As long as those religious fathers were present in this world, did their respective religions remain in a *satopradhaan stage* or did it enter the *rajo* and *tamo* stages? It remained *satopradhaan* till then and as soon as they left their body, what did their *followers* do? Their *followers* started using that knowledge wrongly due to selfish motives, they sat as gurus, they firmly occupied their thrones and it is seen even now that [people] have sat as bodily religious gurus on their thrones in every religion and are creating fights and even murders.

This was about the small religious fathers and their *followers*, who come *last*, but who is the first and foremost? The first and foremost religious father is Shivbaba, who establishes the Ancient Deity Religion (*Adi Sanatan Devi Devta Dharma*). When Shivbaba comes, the knowledge that He narrated through the one with a child like intellect, as long as that knowledge was narrated in practice in the world of Brahmins, the Brahmin family continued to function properly. And as soon as Brahma leaves his body, as soon as Saraswati leaves her

body, their *followers* occupy their thrones. The firm *proof* is in front of you. What is the *proof* that is in front of you? All the Brahmakumari Vidyalayas (schools) of India that existed before 1968 used to be controlled from Mount Abu and as soon as Brahma Baba left his body, the bodily religious gurus who occupy the thrones sat as *zonal* incharges<sup>5</sup> and after occupying their thrones they started handling their *followers* and they started making them dance to their tunes. So, where did the difference start? Did the difference start during the lifetime of Brahma Baba? When did duality begin? When did two types emerge? (Someone said: It is when Mamma left her body in 1965.) It was not at that time; Brahma Baba was alive at that time. It did not happen like this when Shivbaba came in the body of Brahma Baba. Yes, it is true that the *controlling power* of the *yagya*, which was in the hands of Mamma, when Mamma departed, then that *controlling power* went into the hands of some other *devi* who occupied Mamma's place and who got it published in the newspapers [later on:] 'I am Jagdamba'. But Brahma was present, wasn't he? Shivbaba used to come in him. So, nobody came to know that ... yes, the disintegration started from then itself. From when? Ever since Mamma left her body, because, in whose hands was the *administration*? It was in Mamma's hands. As is the king, so are the subjects.

But due to the presence of Brahma Baba, nobody felt that the downfall began right from the time when Mamma left her body. After Baba left his body, [the shooting of] the Copper Age was completely declared. For example, when the Copper Age begins, then the foreign religious lands are established in the foreign countries. Initially, there was only one country and only one kingdom. What happens with the commencement of the Copper Age? Two kingdoms and two religions start. Similarly, all the service centers were situated only in India. When Baba left his body, then the first service center was opened in London. So, was the *shooting* of the foreigners performed or not? The *foundation* of the foreign religious lands was also laid. But as Brahma Baba had a child like intellect... for example, a child is obstinate, similarly, a topic sat in his intellect firmly: 'I am the corporeal God of the Gita. Nobody except me can be the corporeal God of the Gita in this world'. Although Baba had hinted in the murli... Baba hinted in the murli... what? That the name 'Brahmakumari Vidyalay' that they wrote was *wrong*. What is *right*? The children are not born from the mother alone; a mother alone can not manage [the family]; a father is also required. So, Baba gave this hint during Mamma's lifetime itself, but those with a child like intellect could not understand that hint. Even Brahma couldn't understand it. Had he understood, it would have come in his intellect as well that there was a corporeal father who sowed the seed in the beginning of the *yagya*.

Why did this topic not sit in his intellect? When he did not get any solution from the gurus, when he did not get any solution from the *pandits* of Varanasi and when he got a solution from his partner, then it should sit in his intellect that this one is the special personality through whom the seed of knowledge was given, sown. Why didn't his greatness sit in his intellect? (Someone said: Child...) No. The reason was that the person who was kept as a partner in his shop had been working as a servant in his shop for 10 years. What? Well, a servant is a servant. Will a servant be considered low or will anyone consider him to be high? (Someone said: Low.) This is why it did not come in Brahma Baba's intellect at all that when God comes in this world, He does not see low or high [status]. He catches the most ordinary body. Brahma Baba was in the intoxication: I am the biggest diamond trader of Hindustan in the world; I am a prosperous person; this is why it did not sit in his intellect and even now,

---

<sup>5</sup> In charge of a region of India



even now it does not sit firmly, it does not fit in the intellect of that soul. What? (Someone said: God of the Gita.) I am not God of the Gita. Why doesn't it sit? (Someone said: *Sanskaars* of 63 births.) No. Do all the people of the world believe that God of the Gita is not Krishna? (Someone said: They do not.) They do say that God of the Gita is Krishna.

Now the Brahmakumaris have also stopped raising this *point*. Earlier this book [on the Gita] used to be printed. Pictures also used to be made; now they have done it very less. Why did they do so? They did so because the souls with a child like intellect in the *basic* [knowledge], will they *follow* the world or will they *follow* the knowledgeable human souls? (Someone said: The world.) The knowledgeable ones, the Father's children are very ordinary and all the religious fathers, and their *followers*, who sit as *guru*, do those gurus look at the big people or do they look at small ordinary people? (Everyone said: Big people.) So, it is a similar case here. When Brahma left his body, after he left his body, whoever occupied the throne, whom do they see? (Someone said: The big ones.) They see the big ones. When Baba says, if you catch one big person, then numerous people will come following him, does Baba speak in the limited or in the unlimited? (Someone said: Unlimited.) Baba speaks in the unlimited. Does Baba see the body, does He see the bodily beings or does He see the actor like souls who play a *part* for many births? (Someone said: The soul.) He sees the soul. He knows which point of light soul in the world is big and which point of light souls should be proved to be big from the point of view of the world and He also knows that the elder children who take care of this world, who are called the ten *digpaal* (protectors of the ten directions), the ones who are called the eight deities are placed by God on His head.

God sees those big ones. If those big eight [souls] unite in the world of Brahmins, then will the *foundation* of the new world be laid or not? (Someone said: It will be laid.) The *foundation* of the new world will be laid. Baba's task is already done. Will God have an eye on today's big *multimillionaires*, big capitalists, owners of big factories, big officers, Presidents, past or present *Prime Ministers*? (Someone said: No.) Arey, God knows that these are people who take temporary respect in the last birth; their kingship is for name sake. What kind of kingship is it? Indira Gandhi was a *Prime Minister*; she went to deliver a speech; someone flung a shoe at her; it went and hit her like this, which could have hurt her nose. Someone hit a stone. Arey, what kind of a kingship is this? The Father knows that these are kings of the *tamopradhaan* time. These are not big people in God's eyes.

Who are big people in God's eyes? Those who administer the entire world are the big people in God's eyes. They *control* even those religious fathers who come from above and become heads of such a big *generation* (population), for example, Christ. How many *followers* does Christ have now? There are more than 150-200 crore (1.5-2 billion). **150-200 crores!** People consider Christ to be their religious father; the soul of Christ is ruling their heart; so, they are such big religious fathers; regarding those religious fathers as well, God knows: when those eight children of Mine, who have been praised even in the Bible and the Koran in the form of the eight angels, become perfect, those angels will make even those religious fathers dance to their tune. They (the religious fathers) will become their (the eight deities') disciples; they will bow their head before them. So, the big [personalities] of the world like Abraham, Buddha, Christ, Guru Nanak, Vivekananda etc. are not big personalities in the eyes of God. Who are big personalities in the eyes of God? Those eight deities who are the ancestors of the entire world, who are born first of all. God's eyes are focussed on them. And on whom are the eyes of the Brahmakumar-kumaris or the gurus who have occupied the thrones focussed? Their eyes are focussed on the big personalities of the world. So, they have

controlled the big personalities of the government. They have influenced the big *multi-millionaires*.

Under whose control are the big capitalists, prosperous people now? They are under the control of the Brahmakumar-kumaris, those who follow the *basic knowledge*. Why are they under their control? It is because the *devi* (female deity) of the prosperous people, who is that *devi*? Lakshmi. That Lakshmi herself is being sustained in their home. In whose home? She is getting sustenance in the house of those who follow the *basic* [knowledge]. So, it is not a big issue for them to cast an influence on the big capitalists. So, it cannot at all sit in the intellect of the people of the world who God of the Gita is. In whose intellect should it be made to sit first of all? First the Brahmins should understand it. The Brahmins who live in the world of the *basic* [knowledge] should understand the idea that the first leaf of the world is not God of the Gita. The one who gives birth even to that leaf is the seed form Father. The leaf comes very late. There are branches before the leaves; there is the trunk before the branches; there are roots before the trunk and there are various kinds of seeds before the roots; and then there is one Father of all those seeds. That Father is so high, so deep, and so secret! He is God of the Gita. When that Seed Form Father performs His task in this world, He catches the highest actor, the *hero* actor in this human world, who proves to be the permanent chariot in the world. This is why two kinds of chariots are mentioned in the murlis. Which ones? One is the *temporary* chariot and the other is the permanent chariot. Both the souls along with their chariots are called Bap [and] dada. What? The soul of the permanent chariot, the soul of Ram, is the father Ram, and the soul of the *temporary* chariot, the soul of Krishna is the *dada* of the entire world. *Dada* means elder brother, the first leaf of the entire world; so he is the elder brother.

Is this Bapdada like a father and a son or not? They are Bapdada and... if we see with soul consciousness, then they are Bapdada and if we see from the point of view of the body, then the first leaf is a bodily being and his father is also in a body, isn't he? Only then will Krishna be born. If the one who gives birth to the first leaf like Krishna is not a bodily being at all, then how will the child Krishna be born? He cannot be born. So, there are two souls in the form of a bodily being, the father and the son and in a spiritual form there are two souls: *Baap* and *dada*; it is the present *combination*, they play a *combined part*. It has also been shown in the scriptures that when Shankar is shown, then along with Shankar the Moon is also shown. Do the good fathers keep their heir child on the head (give them importance) or do they show them to be worthless in front of the world? They place him on the head, why? Because they know that the child is their heir. Whom do the parents entrust the income of the entire life? They entrust it to the child. A child is born in the house, the parents clean his dirt, they enable him to read and write; when he grows up, they entrust the entire property to that child and they themselves become *vaanprasthi* (retired). They perform the task of such big servants and maids! The servants and maids of the world will work on payment and this servant and maid work free of cost. They become servant and maid due to the karmic accounts of the previous birth. What? Has the child who is born performed good actions in the previous birth or have the parents who give birth to that child performed good actions? (Someone said: The child.) The child has performed good actions. He has performed good actions, that is why the parents have to clean their dirt like a servant and maid.

So, the children have gained victory over the actions, that is why the children are placed on the head. Shivbaba also says: Children, *I am your most obedient servant*. Your parents of many births cannot be called 'the *most*' and I am your *most obedient servant*. How?

If we (the children) issue any *order* now, will that *most obedient servant* obey us completely? (Someone said: Of many births.) Of many births? What? *Arey*, if He is the *most obedient servant*, then give Him an *order* and will He do the task or will He *disobey* us? He will do the task. So, do we feel this? Do we experience? (Someone said: Sometimes.) We experience it sometimes. So, He is not the *most obedient*. He is sometimes [obedient]. But there are some elevated *purushaartha* children like this also, whom Shivbaba... it has been shown in the path of *bhakti* that those children have been placed on the head in the form of a rosary. So... alright, we cannot all be [part of that rosary]. There must be some children at least. What? For whom a sound comes from their heart: 'Baba, it should not be like this; it should be like this; do this' and Baba is bound to perform that task like an *obedient servant*.

So, those eight are special souls. They have a *stage* higher than the child Krishna, who becomes the first leaf. For example, there is a home, a family; there are *caacaa* (younger brothers of father), *taau* (elder brothers of father), there are *caaciyaan* (wives of *caacaa*), *taaiyaan* (wives of *taau*). When a child is born in that house, will he obey only his father, will he touch the feet or bow his head in front of only his father or will he bow his head in front of all the *caacaa*, *taau*, *taai*? (Someone said: Everyone.) Why? Where was this tradition started? (Someone said: The Confluence Age.) This tradition started in the Confluence Age. Those eight souls are the ancestors and the eight are not alone. When there are eight, then there will be the life partners of those eight as well. Yes, they come from the Moon dynasty (*Chandravansh*) and the eight are *Suryavanshis* (belonging to the Sun dynasty). So, the child Krishna has been made God of the Gita and the souls who give birth [to him], the souls who play the part of the parents, the parents of the entire world, were they left behind? Even among them, the souls which constitute the *Rudramala* of 108 are the most elevated. They take on a *raudra* (fierce) form at the time of the destruction of the world; they take on a fearsome form and become kings for many births. Will the souls that become kings have a strong willpower or will they be *loose*? (Student: Strong.) Only those who have a strong willpower become kings.

So, those 108 children of God the Father who belong to the *Rudramala* and have strong willpower become kings for many births. The Father teaches *Raja yoga* to those children. He does not say that the success of that *Raja yoga* will be achieved in the next birth. What? Study the knowledge here itself, learn *Raja yoga* here itself and obtain the emperorship of the world here itself. Well, the more *Raja yogi* you become, the more you *control* your *indriyaan*<sup>6</sup>, the more you conquer lust, the more you will become the conqueror of the world. So look at the foolishness of the devotees (*bhakt*), they have made the soul of Krishna God of the Gita whereas four and a half lakh (450 thousand) souls achieve a higher *stage* before Krishna. There are four and a half lakh souls that establish a *direct connection* with God the Father, they see and understand the Father's *part* through these eyes, because, will there be a specialty of the subjects (*prajaa*) of the *first* generation or not? (Someone said: There will be.) What will be the specialty? (Someone said: Knowledgeable souls.) Yes. All the souls of the *prajaa* category, even the last soul among the four and a half lakh, will be knowledgeable soul. God the Father Shiva comes from above; does He come with any wealth and property, gold and silver, diamonds and jewelry? What does He give? (Someone said: Knowledge.) He gives just knowledge. Those who gave importance to that knowledge are the children of those who are in the *stage* of *Bhagvaan*, *Bhagvati*. The devotees (*bhakt*) left them

---

<sup>6</sup> Parts of the body used to perform actions and the sense organs



and caught Krishna. That soul of Krishna does not understand the idea even now, that Krishna is not God of the Gita; God of the Gita is Shiva Shankar Bholenath.

If the soul of Krishna becomes equal to Shiva first of all, then the name of Krishna should be joined with Shiva. The names of none of the deities among the 33 crore (330 million) deities was joined [with Shiva]. Whose name was joined [with Shiva]? Shankar's name was joined [with Shiva]. Why was it joined? It was joined in order to prove that Shiva Shankar Himself is God of the Gita. He is the one in the entire world who achieves the incorporeal *stage* first of all in his life in practice. That God is incorporeal, [He is the one] with an incorporeal *stage*. Since He is incorporeal, He is without vices. Since He is without vices, He is ego less. Such a simple topic does not sit in the intellect of the world even today. The topic of the world comes later; in whose intellect should it sit first of all? First it should sit in the intellect of the Brahmakumar-kumaris. This is why Baba has said: Continue obtaining the *opinion* of each of them by making them sit, by explaining to them [and] make them write whether God of the Gita is Shiva Shankar Bholenath or Krishna. People don't even say Shiva-Krishna. Do they take the name Shiva-Narayan? No. They do not say the name Shiva-Krishna either. They do not even take the name Shiva-Brahma. They do not say the name Shiva-Vishnu either. They do not even say the name Shiva-Jagdamba. If they join [the name of anyone] with Shiva, then then the [name of the] first child of Shiva will be joined. This is why it has been said in the murli: They say “*Dev Dev Mahaadev. Dev Brahma, Dev Vishnu, Dev...*” He was not called *Dev*. What is said? *Mahaadev*<sup>7</sup>. So, who is bigger? The eldest child is Shankar, is he not? And it is the eldest child to whom the Father gives His inheritance.

So, what inheritance does the Father Shiva have? He has the inheritance of knowledge. This is why, who is shown to have the third eye of knowledge? Shankar is shown to have it. That *Shiv netra* (Shiva's eye) is shown to be in a vertical position. (Someone said: Mahakali is also shown to have it.) She should become helpful, shouldn't she? She should perform the task completely, shouldn't she? What is the task of Mahakali? She is the destroyer of the demons. She should destroy the demons or should she love the demons? It is not her greatness if she loves the demons. What should she do? The children to whom Brahma gave extreme love, she should [settle the accounts by] making each of them suffer hundred times punishment for every [misdeed] of theirs', then it [will be said] that [she is] Jagdamba (the World Mother) with Jagatpita (the World Father), it is then that Brahma is with Prajapita. Otherwise, [the name] Brahma as well as Jagdamba will be cancelled. *Arey*, what is a wife meant for? (Someone said: Helpful.) She should set an example of being helpful. She becomes helpful in the special task of the Father. She proves herself by becoming helpful. Numerous people who are forming parties here and there, who are suffering blows here and there, will all of them come to the new world? (Someone said: They will not.) Will those who come to the new world be the firm children of the one Father or will they be the ones who wander here and there? (Someone said: The One Father.) They will believe in the One Father from the beginning till the end, which has been shown in the picture of the Tree; what has been shown? Three types [of souls] have been shown; one is [in] the trunk, which goes from bottom to top; the second are [in] the branches that bend on the right side; the third are [in] those branches that bend on the left. So, those who bend, those whose intellect bends or the ones whose head has been shaved off by Maya cannot be the firm

---

<sup>7</sup> The greatest deity

founders of the Ancient Deity Religion and when they cannot be the firm founders of the Ancient Deity Religion, they will not recognize God of the Gita either.

Those who have never been converted to any religion will first of all recognize God of the Gita. They remain firm in their religion for many births. On the one side there is the world of 500-700 crore and among those 500-700 crore... (Someone said: To be born and to convert are different topics, aren't they, Baba?) To be born is a different topic because, should we not maintain [a relationship] with the ones who gave birth to us, the ones who fed us, the ones who served us, the ones whose blood we got? (Everyone said: We should.) We should maintain [the relationship]. So, we should not allow the defamation of the place where we were born. Yes, we have attachment. For example, the parents, grand parents of Siddharth, who converted to Buddhism had attachment for him [thinking:] 'look, my child has gathered such a huge *generation* (population) going to foreign countries and has established Buddhism. Such a great child was born from my womb'. So, they have attachment, don't they? Should they *convert* (change) their religion on the basis of attachment? They did not *convert*. They did not *convert*, but the attachment that they had continued the entire life, so as are the thoughts in the end, so is the fate that they achieve. So, if that soul had strong attachment, it will reach close to him just after one-two births. If he had little attachment, then it is possible that he converts [to his religion] after 200-400 years and be born there. Being born [in a religion] is not called conversion. [Om Shanti.]