

**VCD No.2276, Audio Cassette No.2762,**  
**Clarification of Avyakt vani dated 05.12.16 (Only for PBKs)**

There is a *recent class of avyakt*<sup>1</sup> Bapdada dated 05.12.2016. The *heading* given is “The memories of the first *avyakt* meeting are merged in the picture in everyone’s heart. And on everyone’s lips, in everyone’s heart, there is ‘My Baba, sweet Baba, lovely Baba.’ Om Shanti. On this day, Brahma Baba is remembered a lot. On which day? It is a *vani* dated 05.12.2016. What did He use to say till now? On the day of 18<sup>th</sup> January children remember Bapdada a lot. What did He start saying from this *avyakt vani*? ‘On this day’ meaning on 5<sup>th</sup> *December*, Brahma Baba is remembered a lot. Why is this change? What happened on 5<sup>th</sup> *December*? When did it happen? How did it happen? So that Brahma Baba is remembered a lot. It is this very day when the *part* of a meeting with BapDada in the subtle region was enacted in the *avyakt* form. Where was it enacted? In the subtle region. Who met? Baap and Dada. Who is this *Baap* (father)? And who is *Dada* (elder brother)? Which father? (Someone: The Father Shiva.) The Father Shiva? Does the Father Shiva come in the subtle region? Does He come in the dweller of the subtle region Brahma? Does He? (Someone: No.) He doesn’t come in the dweller of the subtle region Brahma Baba, who has left his physical body and has taken the subtle body. Then who are BapDada whose *part* of meeting in the subtle region was played?

And BapDada’s personality (*muurti*) was clearly revealed in everyone’s face (*suurat*). What was revealed? (Someone: *Muurti*.) *Muurti*? Is a *muurti* in the corporeal [form] or is it in the subtle and incorporeal [form]? *Muurti* is actually in the corporeal [form]. And that too was revealed clearly and as a direct personality in front of everyone, whoever was present there. So, about which place would it have been? Would it have been about the *avyakt* meeting in the corporeal [form] or would it have been about meeting the personality of the subtle Dada Lekhraj Brahma, who has become *avyakt*? (Someone: The corporeal form.) It is about the corporeal meeting. That can be possible because that personality was clearly revealed in the corporeal [form]. Whose personality was mentioned? Of BapDada. Which *Baap* (Father)? What is meant by BapDada here? *Arey*? Which is such personality in the Brahmin world, which was revealed for the first time on 5<sup>th</sup> *December* in front of the father Ram in the form of a meeting? It has already been clarified in a previous *vani*. Just one word came from everyone’s mouth, “Our Baba, our Baba is meeting us”; but it was said in the very first *line*. On this day, Brahma Baba is remembered a lot. So, which Brahma Baba is this? *Arey*, doesn’t it come in anyone’s intellect?

It is sung on the path of *bhakti*: *Gururbrahma, Guruvishnu Gururdevo Maheshwarah*<sup>2</sup>. *Guru saakshaat parambrahm* (the Guru incarnate named Supreme Brahma). Because there are many with the name Brahma, there must be a *parabrahm* among those many [Brahma], mustn’t there? Who is he? Why was he named Brahma? When is he named Brahma? The one whom He (Shiva) enters is named Brahma. So, whom did He enter first of all? In which Brahma did the Supreme Father Shiva enter first of all? (Someone: Prajapita Brahma.) The one whom He entered was named Prajapita Brahma. And a difference is also mentioned in the murli. Brahmins are created through Brahma. What? The Brahmins are created. It is because... Which dynasty (*vansh*) is first among all the dynasties? (Someone: The Sun dynasty (*suryavansh*)). You will become Suryavanshis<sup>3</sup> later on. (Someone:

<sup>1</sup> Subtle, that which cannot be seen through physical eyes

<sup>2</sup> Brahma is a guru, Vishnu is a guru and Shankar is a guru.

<sup>3</sup> Those belonging to the Sun dynasty

Brahmins.) The Brahmin dynasty. As regards the Kshatriya<sup>4</sup> *vansh*, Vaishya<sup>5</sup> *vansh*, Shudra<sup>6</sup> *vansh*, they come later according to their sequence. They must be coming later in the *shooting period* as well. So, the Brahmin religion is created through Brahma. The *connection* of that Brahma is only with the Brahmins; it isn't with the Kshatriyas, Vaishyas and Shudras. It is because, does Brahma create the Shudras? Had he created Shudras, it wouldn't have been said, what? Brahmins should become *brahmaacaari*<sup>7</sup>. Do Shudras become *brahmaacaari*? Do they assimilate purity? They don't.

So, the creation of Brahma is connected with the Brahmins. Brahmins themselves become deities. A person perfect with how many celestial degrees is called a deity? (Students: Complete with 16 celestial degrees.) Perfect with 16 celestial degrees. And in which birth among the 84 births do you become perfect with 16 celestial degrees? (Someone: In the Confluence Age.) You transform from Brahmins to deities, perfect with 16 celestial degrees in the Confluence Age. The souls of Radha and Krishna, who don't become deities from Brahmins in the Confluence Age, who remain Brahmins, won't they become deities in the Golden Age? They will, won't they? [They will become] perfect with how many celestial degrees? Perfect with 16 celestial degrees. Will those who become Narayan after them, be perfect with fewer celestial degrees or will they be perfect with 16 celestial degrees? They will become those with fewer celestial degrees. Those who become Brahmins with fewer celestial degrees, are they weak Brahmins or perfect Brahmins? That weakness takes them to other religions. The *second* Narayan and his subjects will *convert* to the [religion of the] *second* religious father of the world. Similar is the case with the third, fourth, fifth Narayan according to their rank, because of having successively fewer celestial degrees, they will keep converting to religions like Buddhism, Christianity, etc.

So, with the people of which religions did Dada Lekhraj Brahma make a *connection* in the *shooting period* with respect to [the relationship of] the creation and the creator? *Arey*, with which religions will Prajapita have a *connection* in the human world and with which religions will Brahma have a *connection*? The relationship of the creation and the creator. *Arey*, it was said just now. The *connection*, the firm *connection* of Dada Lekhraj in the relationship of the creator and the creation was with those who transform from firm Brahmins to firm deities. It was especially with those children. As for the rest, generally, people of all the religions play on the lap of Dada Lekhraj Brahma. So, which Brahma has a *connection* with the people of all the religions and their *followers* in the Confluence Age, in practice, in the corporeal? It is because it has been said: If He is the *Father*, He should definitely meet [the children]. If He just calls Himself the *Father* and never meets them, how can He be called a *Father*? So, does Dada Lekhraj Brahma have a firm *connection* with the Islamic people, the Buddhists, the Christians, the people of the Sikh religion, with the Arya Samajis and so on in corporeal? He doesn't. Who has it then? It is because it is said on the path of *bhakti* that the entire world is the progeny of Brahma. So, it is the progeny of which Brahma? It is the progeny of Prajapita Brahma.

The Brahmin religion is created through just Brahma. And through Prajapita Brahma the Brahmins receive the inheritance of the Deity Religion. Along with that, how many souls will Prajapita Brahma meet in this corporeal world in the Confluence Age? How many are

---

<sup>4</sup> The Warriors

<sup>5</sup> The third (Hindu) caste (whose business was agriculture and trade)

<sup>6</sup> The fourth and lowest division in the Indo-Aryan society.

<sup>7</sup> Those who follow Brahma, who remain pure

the human souls in whose heart there will be the firm impression of Prajapita Brahma? Who is sitting in the picture of the Tree? (Everyone: Shankar.) The name of the incomplete one is Prajapita Brahma and the name of the complete one is Shankar. Shankar, who is sitting at the end of the human world Tree, at the very top; what is he doing? He is pulling all the human souls from the corporeal world and making them incorporeal, he is taking them to which place? He is taking them to the incorporeal abode. So, who is this Brahma? Prajapita Brahma. So, it was said, “On this day, Brahma Baba is remembered a lot”. Which Brahma? Prajapita Brahma is remembered a lot. Well, they might not have the introduction of this day, they might not have the information of this day at all, then, how will they remember him a lot? Will they? They won’t.

So, it has been made *clear* in the previous *vani* that the soul of Ram definitely... the one who is called father Ram - Krishna is called a child - so, Prajapita Brahma who is called the father Ram, when did the Father Shiva meet that soul of the father Ram in the spiritual form through the corporeal body, in the subtle stage of thinking and churning first of all? *Arey?* On the 5<sup>th</sup> December. Everyone knows at least, that this jungle like world can’t remain without a lion, the king. When one lion goes from the jungle, another lion takes his place. He rules over the entire jungle. So, in the emblem (*laat*) of the three lions, on the notes (currency) of India, how many lions are shown? Three lions are shown. Why? It is because it is the specialty of the lion, when that lion gives out roars of knowledge, all the living beings in the jungle are terrified. No living being is ready to face him. As long as Dada Lekhraj Brahma was alive, no religious guru of the world displayed the courage to confront him being face to face. Did they? They didn’t. *Arey*, leave him aside. They don’t even meet the Brahmakumar-kumaris to discuss knowledge because it has sat in the heart of all the gurus (they admit within) that God is not omnipresent.

So, it was said, “It was the same day when all of you [met Him] just like you are meeting Baba now”. So, this was the very day, the first day when the Father had met the children in the *avyakt* form. Here, dots have been inserted between [the words] the Father and the children; definitely, something has been hidden because the topic becomes clearer. In the mind of each one, [there is] that day which is called [the day of] the *avyakt* meeting; it was a meeting in the *avyakt* form as well. And in the eyes of Baba it was the first day of the *avyakt* meeting in the *avyakt* form. Here, dots were added again after the words ‘of meeting’. It was the first day. This scene of the *avyakt* meeting [is] in everyone’s heart; all of us have celebrated that *avyakt* meeting, we celebrated the *avyakt* meeting day. Who are included among ‘all of us’? (Someone: Lakshmi.) All of us. Will the one who said, “all of us” be present first of all or not? So, who said it? *Arey*, *avyakt* Bapdada is speaking through the mouth of Dadi Gulzar. Which soul is speaking? Brahma Baba. Brahma Baba also experienced that day of 5<sup>th</sup> December because wherever there is the exchange of knowledge, wherever there is a gathering, the *soul* of Brahma definitely presents itself; whether it is the gathering in the *avyakt* form or in the corporeal form. Just like Tulsidas<sup>8</sup> has also written, ‘Hanuman is definitely present wherever the story of Ram is narrated.’

So, who said, “All of us have celebrated the day of the *avyakt* meeting?” Brahma’s soul said, “All of us have celebrated the day of the *avyakt* meeting with BapDada.” Definitely, at that time, there would have also been some more souls, the companions of the first birth of the Golden Age, the special souls who had already adopted their [subtle] body in the *avyakt* form. Did they adopt it or not? Brahma Baba adopted the subtle body on 18<sup>th</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> A poet who wrote the Ramayana in the Iron Age

January, 1969. There were some souls before him as well; had they adopted a subtle body or not? For example, Mamma Om Radhe, Vishwakishor Bhau, etc. So, it was said: All of us have celebrated the day of the *avyakt* meeting. The drama of each day is different. So, this *avyakt* day... Again dots. It appeared very sweet to the heart. Just like all of us were in the corporeal (*vyakt*) country, who are 'all'? Who is Brahma Baba reminded of? Just like all of us were in the corporeal country, it means all of us were corporeal, weren't we? Whose topic must he have discussed? "All of us", refers to whom? *Arey*, the same souls like Mamma Om Radhe, Vishwakishor Bhau who left their physical body; they had left it even before Brahma Baba. Where they in the subtle body or not? (Students: They were.)

So, all of us were in the corporeal world, but despite being in the [corporeal] world, there was the *avyakt* meeting. There was the *avyakt* day, the *avyakt* scenes all around in everyone's eyes. It was this *avyakt* day. It was the first day of the *avyakt* meeting. Was it 18<sup>th</sup> January? It wasn't 18<sup>th</sup> January. It was the first day of 5<sup>th</sup> December. In the form of such gathering; what kind of a gathering? Despite having the body in the corporeal, the mind and intellect like soul was in what kind of a *stage* of thinking and churning through the mind and intellect? Was it in an *avyakt* [stage] or in a *vyakt* [stage]? It was *avyakt*. In the form of such an assembly, in the form of Baba, the day of the meeting of the Father with the children was being celebrated. Which Father? *Arey*, can the Father Shiva be present for the meeting without the corporeal? So, who was the corporeal one through whom the Father Shiva celebrated the meeting? There was Prajapita Brahma too, in whom He entered for the first time and celebrated the meeting with the souls like Brahma Baba. Weren't there other souls apart from them? Can only those who have a subtle body celebrate the *avyakt* meeting or can anyone else also celebrate it? Can't they? (Someone: They can.) How? (Someone: In the *avyakt* stage.) How? (Someone: By becoming *avyakt*.) Does it mean, they should leave the body like Brahma Baba? (Everyone: No.) Yes? (Someone: We have to become *avyakt* while being in the body.) While being in the body, the intellect should remain engaged in the world of thinking and churning, it should remain engaged in the *planning* of the new world and it should remain engaged in the *planning* of Divine service (of God). Will such *stage* be called the *vyakt*<sup>9</sup> *stage* of the mind and intellect like soul or will it be called *avyakt* *stage*? It is the *avyakt* *stage*.

He was also meeting the children who were in such an *avyakt* *stage*. And the day of the meeting of the children was being celebrated. From everyone's lips, [the words], "Baba! O Baba! Sweet Baba!" [emerged]. You saw the *avyakt* form in the corporeal as well. In which form? You saw the *avyakt* [form] in the corporeal form as well. Who saw it? Did only the souls like Brahma who had left their physical body see it or did those who were in their body also see it? The corporeal children who were in their body also saw it. You saw the *avyakt* form in the corporeal form as well. Who is the corporeal (*saakaar*)? (Someone: Prajapita Brahma.) Prajapita Brahma and the word 'also' is also added; and the soul of Shiva. He is always *avyakt*. He doesn't even need to become constant in the subtle stage of thinking and churning. Does He think (*soctaa*) or is He beyond the stage of thinking (*asoctaa*)? He is *asoctaa*. So, you saw the *avyakt* form also within the corporeal. On which date? You saw in the corporeal form on 5<sup>th</sup> December what the *stage* of the *avyakt* form is. And the *majority* were celebrating this *avyakt* day with the same love and in those very forms. In which form? The form in which the Father of the souls, Shiva was also present and in which the father of the human beings, Prajapita was also present.

---

<sup>9</sup> Corporeal, that which can be seen through physical eyes

So, when was this day of 5<sup>th</sup> December approximately? *Arey*, you can make some guess! A hint was given just now. The jungle like world doesn't exist without a lion. Some or other lion that gives roars of knowledge is definitely present; the religious fathers of the worldly religions and their followers can't confront him with knowledge at all. But even the religious gurus of the Brahmin world who sit on the thrones, who don't pay attention to the topics of the murli, who don't think and churn and they enforce their will – do they enforce their mind's opinion (*manmat*) or do they enforce *shrimat*? They enforce the opinion of their mind –not one of such *manmauji*<sup>10</sup> religious gurus can confront that lion face to face. So, a hint was given. That second lion, it has been said in the murli for him that the children should explain the secret of the Trimurti: first the establishment of the Brahmin religion through Brahma. Then... the sustenance through Vishnu? The Destruction through Shankar. This is the second lion revealed, who gives roars of knowledge. So, did you get a hint? When would he have been revealed first time? *Arey*? When did Brahma Baba leave his body? 18<sup>th</sup> January, 1969. So, would the lion of this jungle of thorns have been replaced within a year or not? So, that date was mentioned, “5<sup>th</sup> December”. Which year will you attach to it? (Student commented.) Yes, it is proved to be 1969.

Definitely, it can be guessed that the soul of Ram... According to the Gita and the great sentences of the murlis, those who are born as Brahmins and then leave their body, are they born only in a righteous clan or not? Do they become Brahmins again or not? They can become [Brahmins]. So that soul of Ram for whom it has been said in the murli, “Ram failed”... In which examination? Is it in the weekly, the monthly, the quarterly [examination] or in the *final* examination? The small [examinations] won't be called *final*. He failed in the small *exam*. The *final exam* hasn't taken place yet. It is said for that *final exam*, do you know what has been said for it? Maya doesn't leave anyone.

In the villages of *north India*, the mothers sing a song even now: *Maya nazaria maar gayi re. Brahma ko maari, Vishnu ko maari*. (Maya cast an evil eye. She cast an evil eye at Brahma, she cast an evil eye at Vishnu.) She didn't cast an evil eye at Shankar. She couldn't cast an evil eye. Why couldn't she cast an evil eye? *Arey*, he is called Lakshmiapati (the husband of Lakshmi). He is called Prakritipati (the husband of Nature). And what about Maya? Isn't He called Mayapati (the husband of Maya)? He is Mayapati as well. So, is the husband more *powerful* or the wife? So, the poor wife can fight with the husband. Can she fight or not? Do you know how she fights? In fact, it has been said in the *avyakt vani*, ‘Maya is fed up with My *yogi* children.’ When someone is fed up with fighting, is there scope for losing or not? (Someone: There is.) Yes, she, the daughter Maya of Brahma was fed up and was *hospitalized*. And for many days, what is that stage called? (Someone: *Coma*.) She was lying in a *coma*. Ultimately she left her body. So, after leaving the body and because of taking on the subtle body, has she become more *powerful* than before or is she less *powerful*? She is more *powerful*.

Still - it has been said in the *avyakt vani* - Maya considered herself to be weak and whom did she join her hands with? She joined hands with nature, with Jagdamba. So, will the enemy who loses join hands with someone more *powerful* than himself or will he join hands with someone weak? (Students: With [someone] *powerful*.) So, who is proved to be the most *powerful*? *Prakriti* (nature). *Pra* means ‘*prakashth*’ (special), ‘*kriti*’ means ‘creation’; God's special creation. It isn't Maya. It isn't Lakshmi. Who is it? Jagdamba. How is she very *powerful*? One thing is, she is the companion of Jagatpita (the father of the world). Who is

<sup>10</sup> The one who acts according to his wish

the main companion of Jagatpita? Jagatmata (the mother of the world). She is indeed senior. The senior mother is indeed more *powerful*. Which mother assimilates (*dhaaran*) more blood of *purity*, the *number one* blood? Is it the first mother or the latter mothers? The first mother.

So, that Mother Nature is so *powerful* that it has been said in the murli: ‘What does Shankar do? Nothing at all.’ If Shankar brings destruction, he will be held guilty. Who said this? The *Supreme Soul* said it. Why did He *support* Shankar? He is the Supreme God. Should He *support* any particular person? *Arey*, ‘*mam vartamanuvartante manushyaha paarth sarvashah.*’ [It means] Whatever path I adopt through the eyes, through vibrations, through speech, through *karmendriyaan*, the entire world follows Me. So definitely, would God have supported the eldest child or not? He supports him. Why? It is because the elder child has more *power*. Someone may say, “How is he proved to be the eldest child?” So, people do say, don’t they? Deity Brahma, deity Vishnu, then *dev dev Mahadev* (the highest among the deities is Mahadev [Shankar].) So, who is the eldest child? It is Mahadev. And among all the human souls in the human world, the name of only the one who is the eldest child in a spiritual form will be added with the Father’s name. Even today the tradition is continuing: ‘... & sons Company’. Is the Father’s name mentioned first or not? So look, just Shankar’s name is added with Shiva. There is no other deity soul, no other human soul, no other demon soul in the world [whose name is added to Shiva]. Along with Shiva, there is only the name of Shankar added. First the Father’s [name], then the child’s name. Nobody says: Shankar-Shiva. What do they say? Shiva-Shankar Bholenath (the Lord of the innocent). Shiva is the Father and His eldest child is Shankar, to whom the Father gives the emperorship of the world when He comes.

So, all the emperors who existed in the *history* of the world, especially in India, to which child did they give their kingship? They gave the kingship to the eldest child because there are the sentences of God Himself in the Gita, ‘*mam vartamanuvartante manushyaha paarth sarvashah.*’ [It means] all the human beings in this world *mostly* follow only My path. So, the eldest child, who is proved to be the father of the entire 500-700 crore (5-7 billion) subjects in practice, through that Father [everyone meets] God, God who gives *gati-sadgati*<sup>11</sup> to everyone. Do all the souls of the world meet such God or not? Some meet Him through the physical *indriyaan* in practice, some meet Him through all the *indriyaan*, all the relationships. Some can’t meet Him through all the *indriyaan*. They meet Him just through the eyes. They listen to the voice through the ears. *Arey*, can they hear the *original* voice through the ears or not? Can they recognize Him meeting Him through the *internet*, the *radio*, *television* or not? Can they become the ones who have an intellect with faith or not? They can.

So, the one who is the father of the 500 crore human beings, who is called Prajapita Brahma, through that Prajapita Brahma, the Father, meaning through the father Ram, the Father Shiva celebrated the *avyakt* meeting first of all on the first day of 5<sup>th</sup> December with Brahma, Brahma’s companions and all the *Suryavanshi* children, who were face to face with BapDada in that first meeting. In everyone’s heart... This *avyakt* meeting [was pulling] the heart of everyone. The meeting with the *sakar* (corporeal) form, what kind of meeting? The meeting of the corporeal form [was] within everyone. Those who were meeting were corporeal and the one whom they were meeting was also corporeal. Shiva was corporeal and the father of the human world was also corporeal. This sweet meeting, within everyone, was pulling everyone’s heart. The subtle meeting in everyone’s heart... those who used to meet in the corporeal form... they met for the first time, so, would they have met later on or not?

---

<sup>11</sup> Liberation and liberation in life

Those who used to meet in the corporeal form and now [with] the same meeting, the *avyakt* meeting was reminding us of a very sweet experience. This is my Baba! Sweet Baba! Dots are added again. You remember that meeting, don't you? Who came for the first time on 5<sup>th</sup> December? Who came? The corporeal Father came in practice. The Father who gives birth, the Father who gives the inheritance came for the first time. And such a big gathering that is sitting in front – in front of Dadi Gulzar – in this gathering, when did He come to celebrate that meeting on the first day? He asked whether everyone came or whether some came and some didn't come. Would everyone have come? Would there be some or many? Raise your hands! Look everyone, the day of the big meeting is celebrated on the day of the meeting. What was said?

18<sup>th</sup> January is not the big day. Which day was mentioned as the day of the big meeting? 5<sup>th</sup> December. Which day of which year must it have been proved to be? (Someone: Of 1968.) 1900... (Someone: 68.) 69? 69? (Someone: 68.) *Arey*, that has passed, hasn't it? 70? Can't there be a day of December in 69? Dada Lekhraj Brahma left his body on 18<sup>th</sup> January. So, can't it be 5<sup>th</sup> of December 69 itself? (Students: It can.)

So, one lion left and another lion came. This is proved through this *vani*. That day, the day of the big meeting is celebrated on the day of the meeting. So, at the time when you were celebrating, everyone was waiting. So, just now BapDada was celebrating a meeting in the corporeal and just now he was celebrating a meeting in the form of *avyakt* Brahma. What was said? Both were separated. In the *sakar* (corporeal body), it is about the recent days. Which year? 2016. In the recent days right now. BapDada was celebrating a meeting in the *sakar*, secondly, just now, He was celebrating the meeting in the form of *avyakt* Brahma. Why were they separated? To prove that both these Brahmas are different. *Parambrahm* (the Supreme Brahma) and Brahma.

Everyone was remembering that day. That was the first day of *avyakt* Baba. Who is called Baba? (Everyone: The Incorporeal within the corporeal.) The Incorporeal Father should have entered the corporeal; [there is] the father of the corporeal human world and the Father of the incorporeal souls too. If there is the combination of both, he will be called **Baba**, Shivbaba. They were remembering that day which was the first day of *avyakt* BapDada. So, how many are *avyakt* BapDada? What did you used to think till now? What did the Brahmakumars used to think? And what did the Prajapita Brahmakumars used to think? (Someone: There is only one soul.) Who? (Someone: Dada Lekhraj.) They used to consider Dada Lekhraj himself to be BapDada, whereas actually the Father Shiva doesn't enter Dadi Gulzar Mohini at all. Does the mother of the Brahmins come [in her] or does the Father come [in her]? Does the father of the human beings come [in her]? Does the Father of the souls come [in her]? Does anyone of the two come [in her]? No.

So, the so-called BKs call the soul of Dada Lekhraj himself BapDada, whereas according to the present *part* is it *wrong* to say that BapDada came in Dadi Gulzar or is it *right*? Why is it *wrong*? It is because the Father Shiva doesn't come in the subtle world dweller Brahma at all. Who adopt the subtle world? Who has the body of a ghost or spirit in the subtle world? Those souls who don't completely recognize God the Father who has come in the Confluence Age have that body. And because of not recognizing Him, they don't give full importance to His *vani*.

This is the importance of the first and foremost generation (*piirhi*), the first generation of the human world in the entire kalpa, 'yatha raja tatha praja' (as is the king, so are the subjects). It means that if the head of the first generation is knowledgeable, all his *followers* will also be knowledgeable; they will be proved to be the children of the Sun of knowledge. All the others, including the Moon dynasty, all the planets (dynasties), are they weak planets or are they equal to the Sun who gives the light of knowledge? Everyone's eyes were celebrating the first day of the meeting. While celebrating the meeting *day* of the *avyakt* meeting, just like you are celebrating the *avyakt* meeting today, similarly, you were celebrating the *avyakt* day in the *past* as well. Will those children have been present while Prajapita entered the path of the *basic knowledge* or not? They must definitely have been present. Each one was celebrating the joy of the *avyakt* meeting in his mind. These days were automatically remembered in everyone's heart, in the picture in everyone's heart. When does it come automatically in the heart? Does it come automatically if they might have seen Him in practice through the eyes or does it come to the mind on hearing Him? In which form would Baba have met the children and in which form would the children have met Baba? (Someone: In the corporeal form.) Would they have met in the *avyakt* form or would they have met in the *vyakt*, meaning in the form of a *vyakti* (person), in the form of a *personality*? Would they have met in the corporeal? The children were remembering that same day. The children who were sitting in front. What? What does in front mean? (Someone: Those who came first. (Someone: Face to face.)

When the new world is revealed, will those *Suryavanshi* children come ahead of the others in the new world or will they come later? So, in the *shooting period* also... It was said that the children who were sitting in front were remembering those days. They might be remembering the direct meeting of the Father with the children, how this first day was celebrated in the *vatan* (subtle world). In which *vatan*? (Someone: The subtle world.) Is it in the subtle world above? How? [The stage] of thinking and churning... despite being in the corporeal *stage* they were celebrating the *avyakt stage* of the mind and intellect. That was the first day. In fact, the meeting used to take place for some time.

And everyone's face was like: this day would always remind them [of the meeting]. Everyone celebrated this day as the meeting day. That is all! There was 'My Baba' in everyone's heart. What? In everyone's heart? My Baba. Everyone refers to how many? (Someone: Four and a half lakhs.) Four and a half lakhs? Will they always recognize him in the form of My Baba or will they *convert* to other religions? Do 80-90 percent of the Indians *convert* to other religions and make others their fathers or do they recognize 'My Baba' for many births? They *convert*.

So, there was just 'My Baba! My Baba! My Baba!' in everyone's heart and the eyes were sparkling with this [thought]. Two [eyes]... You who are sitting here, each one of you have two eyes. Perhaps there are some poor ones who are unable to see. Which two eyes? One is the corporeal, *vyakt*. And the second is the *avyakt*. It was said through the mouth of Dadi Gulzar. Perhaps there are some poor ones who are unable to see through both eyes. Who were called the poor ones? Who were called [the poor ones]? Was it [said for] those who follow the *basic knowledge* or was it [said for] those who follow the *advance* [knowledge]? (Students: Those who follow the *basic knowledge*.) Was it said for the children of the Trinetri (the three eyed one) or to the children of the blind Dhritrashtra? (Someone: The children of Dhritrashtra.) Do you know why he is named Dhritrashtra? *Dhrit* means '*dhar liya*' (seized), *rashtra* means wealth and property. He seized the entire property. That person was named

‘Dhritrashtra’ in the scriptures. When is a name given? [It is given] on the basis of the task performed. So which person seized the entire power of the Brahmins, the Brahmin world under his name in the beginning of the *yagya*? In which year? You certainly took the name of Brahma Baba; then, do tell us in which year he seized it. *Arey*, since when was it named Brahmakumari Vidyalaya? When India was divided into Hindustan and Pakistan, in 1947 that Dhritrashtra seized the organization and gave it his name.

And it is a rule that whatever someone does, a similar scene comes in front of him. When Brahma Baba left his body, in which trouble did he leave his body, [the trouble] which was troubling his intellect a lot and he had a heart attack? (Someone commented.) Yes. The children whom Brahma Baba considered to be his closest ones themselves set up the World Renewal Trust and got the entire institution *registered* under their name by the unrighteous *Government*. So, the children did the same as the father did.

So, those who are the children of such person, will those poor ones recognize the mother and the father firmly through the third eye? They can’t. The praise of that mother and the father continues in the scriptures even to this day; the Mother and the Father of the entire world. What is famous in the scriptures? ‘*Jagatam pitaram vande parvati parmeshwaro*<sup>12</sup>.’ They are the Mother and the Father of the entire world. But those poor ones who do have the physical eyes and don’t have the third eye of knowledge can’t recognize the true form of the mother and the father. But the Father was also looking at the children and the children were also looking at Baba. What was said? Those poor ones can’t recognize [the Father], yet... The Father, whether it is the father Ram, the father of the human world or inside him the Father of the souls, the Father Shiva, so, He is certainly the Father, isn’t he? So, the Father was also looking at the children. Which father? Is it the Father Shiva? Through whom? He was looking through the father Ram. And the children were also looking at Baba. What is this? The Father was looking at the children, so, should the children look at the Father or should they look at Baba? Should they look at Baba? Why? It is because the combination of the Incorporeal and the corporeal can’t be called the Father (Baap). What will He be called? He will be called Baba.

So, the Father was also looking at the children. Here too, the word ‘also’ is used. It means that the incorporeal Father was **also** looking at the children and the children were also looking at Baba; Baba, who is said to be the combination of the Incorporeal and the corporeal. From the lips of both... What? From the lips of both [the words:] My Baba! My Baba! were pronounced. Who are ‘both’? (Students: The father Ram.) Alright, I accept that [one is] the father Ram. It is definite for one [of them] that it emerged from the lips of the father Ram, “My Baba”. For whom? The Father Shiva. [He is Baba,] through whom? He (the father Ram) will think that He is [Baba] through his body. So, He is certainly Baba, isn’t He? From the lips of both, “My Baba!” was pronounced. And who are the others? Those who have both eyes. They have the third eye as well as the physical eyes; they are the other children. From the lips of both “My Baba! My Baba!” was pronounced. Just think: how would the meeting at that time have been for those children who must be meeting for the first time after 5000 years? What a meeting that would have been! If a father’s child or children had lost [each other] for a very long time, for many years and if they meet for the first time, then will that meeting be called *special* or ordinary? (Students: *Special*.) So, what a meeting that would have been! At that time the corporeal father of the human world became constant in the remembrance of the incorporeal Father who always resides in the Soul World,

---

<sup>12</sup> I bow to Parvati and Shankar who are the parents of the entire world

Brahmlok through His connection of the intellect, and started seeing just that form. It is difficult to even forget that day. Just by remembering that day, you are reminded of the Father.

You especially remember this day. Will those who remember especially be numberwise or alike? Who will be *number* one? (Someone: The soul of Ram.) Prajapita Brahma. The father Ram. You are especially reminded of this day because it was the first day when the Incorporeal was meeting the corporeal and the corporeal, in the form of the subtle Brahma, Baba was meeting all the children. What? With whom was He meeting? (Someone: With all the children.) He was meeting the Suryavanshi children as well. Shivbaba was meeting the father Ram as well. And He was meeting Brahma Baba as well. Everyone remembers that *scene*, don't they? The water (tears) from the eyes, the eyes were reminding you of that day. Everyone's heart was saying: This day is the special day of remembrance. At the time when the children were celebrating that *avyakt* meeting with the Father, just think what the condition of the whole gathering would have been! At that time, the entire gathering which was visible in front of Dadi Gulzar, just think, what will be the condition of this entire gathering when all of them meet *avyakt* BapDada? He reminded us of the condition as well. What will be the condition? Whoever sees through these eyes, whoever listens to even two words through these ears, what should come from their heart, from their inner self? My Baba has come. "My" means not the one of the *converted* Brahmins. My Baba has come. The *converted* Brahmins, who *convert* to other religions and keep being born in those very religions birth after birth, should they say "My Baba"? They can't.

Everyone just went back into the memory of that day. Everyone was lost in those memories in this way. All those who might have come, might remember that day a lot. It means all those who were sitting in the gathering hadn't come on that day, on the 5<sup>th</sup> of December. Would there be few or would there be everyone? They might remember a lot. They might remember a lot; those who were present on that day as well and they live here in Mount Abu. There will be some children, won't they? Won't they? How? Those who live in Mount Abu, are they *Candravanshis* or *Suryavanshis*? What are they? (Someone: They are *Candravanshis*.) They are *Candravanshis*. So, how did He say this? Those who might have come in the *past* on the 5<sup>th</sup> of December might remember a lot. Those who live here; where? In Madhuban, Mount Abu. Who live here? Are they Brahmakumars or Prajapita Brahmakumars? Even among the Brahmakumars, there are a few who live here, they also saw it. They felt that *avyakt* meeting. They were also in very deep remembrance. Who might they be? *Arey!* Speak loudly. He [spoke] about the children who are sitting in front. They live here. Where is Brahma Baba? He has departed. Does he live here in Madhuban? In Mount Abu? *Arey!* (Someone: Dadis stay there.) Dadis? ☺ Aha! Dadis? Those who have occupied the thrones like in the path of *bhakti*, like the religious gurus? Are they acting according to their will (*manmaani*) or are they recognizing Baba? Is it about them [for whom] Baba said: Those who live here? They are merged in your heart a lot! (Ironically) wah, wah, wah!

Those who live here were also engrossed in very deep remembrance. Not ordinary remembrance. What kind of remembrance? (Students: Deep remembrance.) So, who might be those children? (Someone: Those who know the *advance* [knowledge].) Yes. They will be those very children who might know the topics of the *advance* [knowledge] and they might have met the head of the *Advance* [Party], the soul of Prajapita Brahma as well. They might have stayed with him. Who might they be? *Arey!* Good heavens! (Student replied.) Brahma Baba! *Arey*, Brahma Baba doesn't stay there at all in Madhuban. He stays in the subtle world.

(Someone: Baba, the *Suryavanshis* who have come from there to the *Advance* [Party].) Those who have come to the *Advance* [Party], they don't live there. Do those who have come to the *Advance* [Party] live there? (Someone: Those who are to come. The souls like Lakshmi.) Yes. After [so much] of dragging, such a long discussion. It should come to your intellect when so many hints are being given, shouldn't it? The *Candravashi* souls, there are some of such special souls - aren't there? - who will *convert* and become firm *Suryavanshis* . Won't they become [*Suryavanshis*]? (Students: They will.) So, the hint was for them.

Those children who live here must be remembering it a lot. And she was in very deep remembrance. **She was** in deep remembrance. What was said? **She was** in very deep remembrance. Does it refer to a male, a Brahmakumar or a Brahmakumari? Baba's eye is on a Brahmakumari in that gathering. Everyone's eyes were becoming rivers [like] Ganga, Yamuna. Those children who were in such a *stage*, who were corporeal even at that time, who were celebrating the *avyakt* meeting with BapDada for the first time, their eyes were becoming incessantly flowing rivers like Ganga, Yamuna. What was said? They might have remembered why it was said 5<sup>th</sup> December. Won't they have remembered? They would have [remembered]. So this day is a special day. Everyone thought: We don't know. We don't know. Now, *avyakt* BapDada reminded us of that day because BapDada had already said. What did He say? BapDada speaks personally to the children despite them being in the *public*. What?

So, was the assembly at Mount Abu on 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 a *public* assembly or an assembly of special children? It was everyone's assembly. In the middle of that *public* (assembly) Baba had a special *personal* meeting with some special children. What? And an indication [of them] was also mentioned, that tears were incessantly flowing from the eyes of those children, of all of them just like the river Ganga and Yamuna. *Arey*, you are smiling a lot. ☺ And Baba knew. What? Baba knew. Baba said: This is a lovely day; this is not the day to cry. What was said? Today, the 5<sup>th</sup> of December... (To a student:) Have patience. ☺ Today, the 5<sup>th</sup> of December is a lovely day. Is this entire age an age of enjoyment or a day to cry? So those from whose eyes tears were flowing incessantly like the rivers Ganga, Yamuna in remembrance, He cautioned them. This is not the day to cry. This is the day of the most enjoyment. He was showing a scene to show you what the form of love is. When there is extreme love, what kind of tears flow? (Someone: Tears of joy flow.) The joy increases so much that tears start flowing.

*Accha*, He was showing the scene. So, everyone revealed the love of their heart. How? Through the tears. In front of whom were they revealed? *Arey*, will those who cry show their tears in *public*: look, we are crying? Will they show them? Be it any kind of love , does the meeting of love take place in secret, behind the curtains or is it displayed in front of everyone like the foreigners? They sit in the *train*, in the *bus* and they keep kissing each other. Is that true love or a love that leads to *divorce*? (Everyone: It is a love that leads to *divorce*.) So, all the children who shed tears expressed the love of their heart. Tell [Me], did they express it? *Arey*, would they have cried in secret, away from the eyes of the others or would they have cried showing off to the others? In secret. Although they did that in secret, yet, what about the cameras that were installed? Do those cameras see or not? Don't they see? So, look in the cameras (videos). The video has reached the entire world, hasn't it? They put their *photos* on the *internet*. Didn't they? Om Shanti.