

**Disc. No.1884, dated 15.06.16 at Bhilai MM Part-1****Extracts****Time-25.32-34.12****Student:** What is Jagdamba's *part* in the Golden Age?

**Baba:** *Accha*, did you understand what is Jagdamba's *part* in the Confluence Age? You did not understand; that is why you are asking. Jagdamba's *part* in the Confluence Age is '*raaj karega khaalsaa*' (the pure one shall rule). This is the highest *part*. Lakshmi will not rule over the entire world. Will Lakshmi rule over the reformed ones or will she also rule over the unreformed ones? She will rule over the deity souls. And what about Jagdamba? She will rule over the **entire** world. She is certainly with the World Father. Whose task is she performing? The task of destruction that she is performing, on whose *order* or with whose inspiration is she performing it? Is there anyone who gives inspiration or not? Shivbaba says: I do not give inspiration. So, who gives her the inspiration? "All of them are sinful, all of them act against the shrimat, none of them understand the depths of knowledge; this is why break them [from knowledge]." So, numerous Vishnu parties are emerging. [People] are breaking [from the knowledge]; in future they will break all the more. They will break and make others their fathers. Does *conversion* take place in the Copper Age or not? Where does its *shooting* take place? It takes place here. Here, in the *bhatti* they write and give to the One, 'this one is our Father'; they themselves have established a Vishnu Party and sat [as God]. There is not even a single person who hasn't done *bhatti* and established a Vishnu Party. They establish Vishnu Party and spoil others' intellect. Baba has said that you should listen from the One. If you listen from many, the knowledge will become adulterated. If the knowledge becomes adulterated, what will be the *result*? Will they go to the adulterous religions or not? What will be the *result*? They will *convert* to the adulterous religions. This is why you should remain very *alert*! You should listen to the knowledge after recognizing the one Father; otherwise you should not listen to it; sit at home. If you listen to [the knowledge] from many, you will be ruined (*satyaanaash*). There are the Aryasamajis; when Ibrahim came, they *converted* to Islam; when Buddha came they *converted* to Buddhism; when Christ came they *converted* to Christianity. Wherever they see a fry pan and a marriage party, they spend the entire night there (fig. wherever they see an opportunity, they convert there). Today the same Aryasamajis have become [political] leaders, they have become Ministers; today they are in this *party*, tomorrow in that *party*. They do not have any rule or law. So, you should not make scores of fathers. For example, Lakshmi is called righteous, she is worshipped among the nine *devis* (female deities) as the first one. She is the *number one devi*; why? It is because in the 84 births she establishes relationship as a husband or wife with only one person; she does not establish a relationship with any other soul. This is why she is *powerful*. She becomes the instrument in opening the *gateway to heaven*, the gate of heaven because of following the One. 'The One' does not mean the Point. When that Point came in Brahma, who recognized Him and how did they recognize Him? Is there any *proof*? Do you find any *proof* that the Point came in Dada Lekhraj? You don't. Yes, Baba told us that the knowledge that was narrated through Dada Lekhraj; the big scholars, pandits (erudite men) or teachers, no one can cross those topics of knowledge. Nobody came and challenged it. Today, no Brahmakumar-kumaris can cross the *advance* knowledge. This is a *challenge*. Because all of them are the children of religious gurus. For example, Ibrahim, Buddha, Christ were bodily religious gurus, similarly Brahma is also a bodily religious guru. These religious gurus can bring sadgati (true liberation) neither to

themselves nor to others. So, the Giver of true liberation (*sadgati daataa*) is the One. He is the Father as well as the *teacher* and He is also the Sadguru who brings true liberation; and He is present in this world, He is in the womb of knowledge. He is not revealed. For example, when a child is born, he is revealed. He (the Giver of true liberation) will be revealed. When will He be revealed? When all the Brahmakumars become opponents in this way. The BKs are anyway opposing, those who follow the *advance* [knowledge] will also become opponents. Just as it happened in the beginning, the entire world was on one side and one soul was on the other side. This is the revelation of truth. It is said that *God is truth*. Nobody can *challenge* the truth. Truth speaks for itself. There was a *time* when the *Advance Party* was not allowed to enter in Mount Abu. Today the situation is that they are spread in Mount Abu and all over Abu Road. The residents of that place, who hate the Brahmakumaris a lot, they are not ready to sell them even an *inch* of land. They are giving the entire *property* to those from the *Advance Party*. (To a student:) This is not going to be the condition of you alone; many people are going to be [in the same condition]. (The student: murli...) You are shouting murli, murli, murli. Where were you before 76? Where were you before this *clarification* of the murli emerged? Were you there or not? Were you there? *Arey*, reply to what I am asking. (Student: I wasn't there.) You were not there in 76, were you? So? You were not present in the year of the revelation of the Father; so, have you come as the Father of the Father today?

#### Time-45.37-48.50

**Student:** There is a point in the murli: You shouldn't even see the face of the one with whom you develops attachment; otherwise...

**Baba:** ...the attachment will increase.

**Student:** Otherwise, you will create thoughts for him, then it will come into speech and then translate into actions; so, sins will be created.

**Baba:** Yes. You should not even see the face of the one for whom you develop attachment. If you see even his face, Maya will pull you.

**Student:** My question is that God is hungry for feelings and thoughts will be created only when we have feelings.

**Baba:** Yes. For whom did you develop feelings?

**Student:** For anyone.

**Baba:** *Arey*, should feelings or thoughts be created for the highest one or should feelings be created for the one who is low? (Student: I am speaking about creating thoughts.) Yes, thoughts. (Student: if they are created for anyone...) They are created for anyone... the one for whom they are created, is he the highest one or the lowest one? (Student: If we create good thoughts, they will be for the highest one.) Yes. Even if you create the best thoughts for the lowest one, he will not become the actor playing the role of the Supreme Soul. (Student: No, what I was asking is, will it create a sin...) It will create a sin. If you see his face again, you will accrue sins. (Student: Suppose, even if we are thinking bad for someone, it will create a sin, won't it?) Oh! Do not change the context (*prasang*) of the topic you started. Your subject is that if you see the face of the one with whom you have attachment, then its *result* will be that... if you see once again the face of the person with whom you have attachment, the *attachment* will increase again. (Student: So, feelings will be created for that person, won't they?) Did you have feelings for someone who is one or did you have feelings for the bodily being? (Student: for the bodily being.) So, what

should you do? (Student commented.) This is why it was said that you should not even see the face of the one for whom you develop attachment. It doesn't matter even if they are parents. Once they offer [their daughter], they should not even have the desire to see what they have already given. (Student: So, the thoughts can also create sins, can't they? They do create [sins], don't they? If you create bad thoughts, you will accrue sins. (Student: it will be a lesser sin when compared to those created through the speech and actions.) If the seed was laid, if the seed of the thought was sown, will the tree grow or not? The tree will grow. So, why should you do that at all?