

**Disc.CD No.605, dated 26.07.08 at Tadepalligudem****Time: 00.06- 07.59****Student:** Pictures (*citra*) are formed only on the basis of the acts, aren't they?**Baba:** First the pictures are prepared. In the path of *bhakti* as well... *act* is called *caritra*, isn't it? This is why, in order to show the acts, pictures were drawn in the caves of Ajanta, Ellora, Elephanta which have been formed. It is not that the scriptures are formed first. First the acts are performed and later on [the scriptures are prepared].**Student:** So, its shooting should take place here in the Confluence Age as well, shouldn't it?**Baba:** Yes.**Student:** In the path of *bhakti*, a story of Bali and Sugreev<sup>1</sup> has been shown.**Baba:** Yes.**Student:** Ram's interference has also been depicted in it.**Baba:** It is correct.**Student:** Ram killed Bali.**Baba:** It is correct.**Student:** How did its shooting take place here?**Baba:** The one who makes the seven palm trees fall down with [just] one arrow..., it is he who kills Bali. Which are the seven palm trees that are standing tall? It is these seven *vidharmita* Narayans<sup>2</sup>. If Ram kills them, it is just like he has won.**Time: 08.04-09.20****Student:** Baba, what is the secret of the *mani* (gem) of Ashwatthama in Mahabharata?**Baba:** What is meant by *mani*? The soul is called *mani*.**Student:** He took out the *mani* (gem) [from the forehead].**Baba:** Should the gem be removed? (Student: No.) The gem should be stored permanently. It should not be removed even if someone says [to remove it]. Well, his intellect became unrighteous due to performing unrighteous acts. What did the Guru do to Eklavya<sup>3</sup>? He [made him] cut his thumb. In the path of *bhakti* the shape of thumb (*angushthakar*) represents a soul. The soul conscious stage itself was taken away, then what will Eklavya do? He may *love* only one, yet he should not be body conscious; he should be soul conscious.**Time: 09.30-10.45****Student:** Baba, there is one more question. What is the difference between *Suryavanshi* and *Suryanaami*?**Baba:** *Suryanaami* means the ones with the name of the Sun, but not the ones who perform the task [of the Sun]. *Suryanaami* are found in the path of *bhakti* and *Suryavanshi* are found in the Golden Age and Silver Age, [i.e.] in the path of knowledge.**Student:** There is a tribe in Holland, they are known as *Suryanaami*. The Ramayana.....they are completely like the Hindus. But their language is not Hindi, it is Dutch. How does its shooting take place here?**Baba:** The stage of [the ones in] the path of *bhakti* will be like the path of *bhakti* itself. Will it be for name sake or will it be according to the work they perform? They are just for name sake. They will be called *Suryanaami*.What happened? Will you stop the discussion if Gopal *bhai* is not present? ☺

---

<sup>1</sup> Monkey-faced characters shown in the epic Ramayana.<sup>2</sup> Those who have beliefs and practices opposite to that set by the Father<sup>3</sup> A character in the epic Mahabharat

**Time: 10.56-15.40**

**Student:** Baba is teaching the knowledge to the children from 76, isn't He? Yet Baba tells us that transformation is not taking place. Then after the arrival of Lakshmi...

**Baba:** Will the transformation take place without the 'power of transformation' (*parivartan shakti*)?

**Student:** Even the *shakti* who is going to arrive narrates whatever the Father has narrated, doesn't she?

**Baba:** One thing is to listen to the knowledge and narrate it to others. Another thing is to understand the knowledge and explain it to others, but not to assimilate in our life. For example, [the topic of] purity; you heard about the topic of purity and narrated it. You understood it numberwise (according to the capacity) and explained it to others as well. But if you do not assimilate it in your own life then will it be called *practical* knowledge? Will it have an effect on others? Will it?

**Student:** The Father Himself is present, isn't He?

**Baba:** No, the Father is *Karankaraavanhaar*<sup>4</sup>. It has not been said that the Father is only *Karanhaar*. He played the *part* of *Karnahaar* through whom? Through Brahma. Which *part* is He playing now? Is He playing the *part* of *Karanhaar* now or the *part* of *Karaavanhaar*? Now it is the *part* of *Karaavanhaar*. This is why the Father's name cannot be taken [to do in practice]. He will enable the children to do. He Himself will shake them nicely. He Himself will not do [the task]. Will He be revealed in this world with the *stage* of being *akarta* (the one who does not act) or will he be revealed with the *stage* of being *karta* (the one who acts)? (Student: *Akarta*.)

The incorporeal Father, who is called God, the ones who get the *title* of *Bhagwan-Bhagwati* (God-Goddess); even in between them, is *Bhagwan* more famous when compared to *Bhagwati* or is *Bhagwati* more famous? *Bhagwaan* is famous. *Bhagwaan* is only one. So, the *part* of that one is only of *Karaavanhaar*, His *part* is not of *Karanhaar*. This is why you should understand this topic. This is why it is said in the path of *bhakti*, you have to *follow* the Sadguru, but you have to *follow* whatever the Sadguru speaks. You should not do whatever the Sadguru does. The disciples, the children do not have the power that the Sadguru possesses. And the Sadguru does not exist in the path of *bhakti* either. It is not about that time (path of *bhakti*) at all. It is about which time? It is about the Confluence Age. Three personalities of Shiva are praised. Among those three personalities, which personality listens and narrates and which personality understands and explains and which personality performs acts in practice and enables to act in practice? There are three personalities. One plays the *part* to act in practice, second plays the *part* of listening and narrating and the third plays the *part* of understanding and explaining. So, all the three personalities have to be revealed completely. It is then that the *Trimurty Shiv* will be revealed completely. The task will be accomplished.

**Time: 15.49-19.18**

**Student:** Shankar becomes sinful, doesn't he?

**Baba:** Does Shankar become sinful? Is Shankar a subtle world dweller, is Shankar the name of the soul, who is subtle bodied or is he corporeal? Does he remain in a subtle *stage* of thinking and churning, does his intellect remain busy in the *stage* of the construction of the new world, does his intellect remain busy in the task of service or does his intellect remain busy in worldly affairs? (Student: In service.) So, is he a subtle world dweller or is he a dweller of the corporeal world? He is a subtle world dweller. And are the subtle world dwellers angels or do they have any relationship with the relatives of the body? They are

<sup>4</sup> The one who does and makes the other do.

angels. And are angels pure or are they impure? (Student: They are pure.) Then how is this applicable? Then how is it applicable [to Shankar] that he becomes sinful? *Arey*, is the one who drinks poison God, a deity or a human being? Which soul drinks the poison of vicious people? They have shown that Shankar drank poison. But actually who drinks the poison? It is Shivbaba. Is it Shivbaba who drinks the poison through the colour of company or is it a human soul or a deity soul that drinks the poison? It is Shivbaba. Nor does any deity soul have this power.

It has also been written in the scriptures; what has been written? When the ocean was churned, when the deadly poison (*halahal vish*) emerged, then did all the deities and demons become frightened or was there anyone who wasn't afraid? Was anyone left out? *Arey*, could Narayan avoid being afraid? Did Vishnu and Brahma, who are called the highest deities avoid being frightened or were they also afraid? *Arey*, were they afraid or not? They were afraid, too. Then who drank the poison? Shankar drank it. Did Shankar drink it [in reality] or did Shiva, who is present in Shankar drink it? If the soul of Brahma can assimilate the power of tolerance, why did he not establish the gathering of Brahmins by assimilating the power of tolerance in the 63 births? Why did he not establish such a big institution in the world (before the entrance of Shiva)? Who played this *part* of the power of tolerance in the form of Brahma? Shiva played it.

**Time: 19.28-21.30**

**Student:** The Dilwara Temple and *Guru shikhar*<sup>5</sup> are separate, aren't they?

**Baba:** *Guru Shikhar* is separate.

**Student:** I mean to say that just as there is the Dilwara temple...

**Baba:** The Dilwara Temple is at a lower level and *Guru shikhar* is at a higher level. The Dilwara temple is a memorial of the *Dilwala*. What? Who is *Dilwala*? Who is *Dilwala*, the one who wins the heart of each one and receives the *potamail*<sup>6</sup> of each one? It is Shivbaba alone who wins the heart. But He does not win the heart through the soul of Ram. Even now the soul of Brahma is made an instrument. One is *dil* (heart) and the other is *dimaag* (intellect). Does the soul of Ram have a sharp intellect or does the soul of Brahma have a sharp intellect? The soul of Ram has a sharp intellect. And the soul of Brahma is more emotional. Mothers are emotional and a father is intellectual. This is why the revelation is held up for that soul even now. Those who are called BapDada can go back [to the Soul World] if they wish, but they are waiting for the five-seven billion children like souls.

**Time: 21.43-23.43**

**Student:** Baba, the one who is born definitely has to die as well. We are born at [the house of] the unlimited Father. So, here...

**Baba:** Did your unlimited birth take place now, or did your limited birth take place? Which birth of yours took place at *last*? Your unlimited birth took place. So, will it be called an unlimited birth when you die from the previous birth or... if you do not die from the past birth, from the body and the bodily relationships at all, will it be called an unlimited birth? It will be called the unlimited birth only when you become the children of the one father Brahma, one Father and no one else. The body, the wealth as well as the mind belongs to You; it cannot belong to anyone else even one percent. Then it will be said that he is an unlimited child of the unlimited Father. So, are we living in the old birth or have we become Brahmin children of the new birth? This is why it has been said that the birth of scorpions and spiders is taking place now. Now the true *Suryavanshis* of the true Deity Religion are not

<sup>5</sup> Topmost peak at Mount Abu.

<sup>6</sup> A letter to Baba containing the account of the secrets and weaknesses of one's body, mind and wealth.

becoming ready. Does everyone in this world give sorrow or is there anyone who gives happiness as well? Everyone gives sorrow. Those who give sorrow are called demons (*daitya*).

**Time: 23.51-25.43**

**Student:** Baba, a mother is asking that there are two Tirupati [temples], one is below [on the plains] and the other is above [on the mountain]. What is its unlimited meaning?

**Baba:** When the world of Brahmins becomes ready, are there two Babas, [i.e.] the junior Baba and the senior Baba or is there [only] one Baba? Who is the lower Baba and who is the upper Baba? The above one, [i.e.] Shivbaba is separate and the below one, [i.e.] Brahma Baba is separate. Both are anyway the same Baba. The *part*, the *personality* of both is just one. But the souls play parts in two forms. It is not sitting in the intellect of one [of them] so far that Krishna cannot be God of the Gita and it has sat in the intellect of the other one that only the incorporeal One can be God of the Gita. The one whose thousands of photographs have been taken but not even one of them is in the incorporeal stage; how can he be God of the Gita? Even now God of the Gita will be revealed in the world only when that incorporeal *stage* is visible for 24 hours. Even now, is the path of *bhakti* going on in the *Advance Party* of the Brahmins or is the path of knowledge going on? Even now the *shooting* of the path of *bhakti* is going on. Sometimes, in between, they hail the path of *bhakti* (*zindabad*) and they bring down the path of knowledge (*murdabad*).

**Time: 26.03-29.24**

**Baba:** A question has been asked: What is the difference between the love of souls as brothers and the love of souls as brothers and sisters?

**Reply:** When is it said that souls are brothers [among themselves]? It is when all the children of the one Supreme Father Supreme Soul Point of light Shiva are brothers [among themselves] because is the Supreme Father Supreme Soul who is called *God* the *Father* one or two? He is one. And the child of the One can also be only one soul. That one soul is called '*aatma so parmatma*' (the soul is equal to the Supreme Soul). It is not that all the souls become the Supreme Soul. No. When the highest on high Father Shiva comes to this world, He enters the highest on high, the one who is the highest on high hero actor. It is not that He enters Krishna, the *second* Narayan as well as the *third* Narayan, He takes ten incarnations, 24 incarnations, and then incarnates in every atom. So, when you are children of the one Father, then are you souls brothers or brothers and sisters [among yourselves]? [The souls] are called brothers and sisters when the parents of the body are also present.

What will Brahma and Saraswati be called? The parents of the body. What will Jagdamba (the World Mother) and Jagatpita (Father of the World) be called? The physical parents. So, they (the souls) become sons and daughters. It means that Prajapita as well as Brahma are required. When you become their children, then you will be called brothers and sisters. The feeling of the body arises. A difference between the body occurs. There occurs such a vast difference between the female body and the male body! There occurs such a vast *difference* between the soul conscious stage of a female body and a male body! This is why it has been said that consider yourself to be souls in the form of brothers; we are children of the one Father; not even Brahma should be brought in between. We Brahmakumar Kumaris are the descendants of Shiva (*Shiv vanshi*).

**Time: 29.30-30.45**

**Baba:** The next question is: What is the difference between the establishment of a religion and the establishment of a kingdom?

**Reply:** Do the religious fathers like Abraham, Buddha, Christ, etc. who are the religious fathers of other religions establish just their *dharnas*<sup>7</sup> when they come or do they establish a kingdom? When they come, they do not have any *generation* at all at that time. On whom will they rule? They establish the *dharnas* of their religion. They do not establish Divine (*iishwariya*) *dharnas*. When their population reaches lakhs (hundred thousands) after one hundred, two hundred, four hundred years, then the *Vrikshapati* (Lord of the tree) Father Himself goes and is born in their religion and establishes the kingship. They do not have the power to establish kingship, to set up a capital, to form a gathering at all.

**Time: 30.59-35.57**

**Student:** Baba, it has been shown in the Ramayana that Ravan's brother was Ahi Ravan. He abducted Ram and Lakshman and took them to the *paataal lok* (nether world) to offer them as a sacrifice; what does it mean? Then Mahavirji (Hanuman) went to save them.

**Baba:** What is meant by *ahi*? What is meant by Ahilya? *Ahi* means snake. He is indeed Ravan, but what kind of a Ravan? Ahi Ravan. A much lower grade Ravan. One ruled over the Earth; he is shown to rule over Lanka. But is Ahi Ravan's kingdom shown to be in a lower *stage* or a higher *stage*? It is shown in a lower *stage*. So, he is Ahi Ravan. Does body consciousness or the vice of lust take you to the lowest stage? The vice of lust. How many heads is Ravan shown to have?

**Everyone said:** Ten heads.

**Baba:** Among the ten heads, five heads of Mandodari<sup>8</sup> are separate; five [heads] are of the male body; among them who is the first head, the chief? The vice of lust. Which vice makes you sinful, which makes you very sinful? Anger, greed, attachment, ego will not be said to be the ones that make you sinful. Ego of the body will not be called the one that makes you sinful either. What? The vice of lust makes you very sinful.

Do women or men have more ego of the body? Women are more conscious of the body. And is the vice of lust more prevalent in men or is anger or ego of the body more prevalent in them? The vice of lust is more prevalent in them. It is this lust which makes you sinful. And all men are Duryodhans and Dushasans<sup>9</sup>. So, who make you sinful? In the picture of the ladder, Dushasan is shown to be pulling the saree; so, is the person making someone sinful a woman or a man? It is a man who has been depicted. So, one is the form, the picture, the face of lust in the picture of Ravan. Next is anger, greed, attachment, ego; next are their helping powers, [i.e.] the earth, water, wind, fire, sky like deities who come under the *control* of Ravan. They are so dull headed that they forget their soul conscious form completely; they come under [his] bondage completely. So, these are the ten vices. But who is their father? (Someone said: Ravan.) No. There are ten vices (heads), five vices; who is their father? Body consciousness. Body consciousness is not that dangerous. What is more dangerous? The vice of lust. And if we compare from the point of view of lust, is the soul of Ram filled more with the vice of lust or is the soul of Brahma filled more with the vice of lust in the end of the Iron Age? The soul of Ram becomes more sinful. This is why both the personalities are shown standing in the picture of the ladder. The soul of Ram is shown to be fallen, [lying on] the bed of thorns. And the soul of Brahma is shown to be standing. So, who experiences more downfall? Does the vice of lust [bring] more downfall or does body consciousness [bring] more downfall? The vice of lust [brings] more downfall.

**Time: 36.35-38.34**

<sup>7</sup> Practices of religion

<sup>8</sup> Wife of Ravan

<sup>9</sup> Villainous characters in the epic Mahabharata

**Baba:** A question has been asked: The yogis in the path of *bhakti* sit in *samadhi*<sup>10</sup>, control their inhalation and exhalation and leave their body; so, what will be the fate of such people as per their thoughts in the end?

**Reply:** The question is: Do they remain in the remembrance of the soul or the Supreme Soul or do they become *nil* by controlling their inhalation and exhalation? Does their soul become *nil* or do they remain in the remembrance of the soul or the Supreme Soul? They become *nil*. So, by becoming *nil*, will their intellect become much more inert or will it take the form of the living soul and the Supreme Soul? It becomes even more inert. They have a next birth and start doing and enabling [others to do] even more degraded tasks. They become sinful themselves as well as make others sinful by deceiving them. They sit thinking themselves to be the Supreme Soul and make the others fall down by deceiving them. They consider themselves to be Purifier of the sinful ones; Hiranyakashyap<sup>11</sup> used to consider himself to be high. What did he used to think? I myself am God; so did he go down or go up? He will go down.

**Time: 38.37-40.12**

**Student:** Baba, three souls become instrument for the establishment, sustenance and destruction in every dynasty. For example, Babur among Mughals...

**Baba:** Not in every *dynasty*. [This is seen] only in the dynasty of the Ancient Deity Religion.

**Student:** No Baba, for example there is a *dynasty* of Mughals which is sustained by Babur...

**Baba:** Babur will become the World Father. And the main soul which stays with Babur which is the seed form [soul] that lays the *foundation* of the low religion, is the Babri mosque. She becomes the helper. These are the two kinds of special souls. One is the seed of the Islam religion and the other is the seed of the Sun Dynasty. As regards the Ancient Deity Religion, all the three are required. Establishment through Brahma, destruction through Shankar and sustenance through Vishnu.

**Time: 40.19-43.45**

**Student:** God is teaching the souls through Prajapita Brahma; how will we know this?

**Baba:** (Baba is laughing.) How will we know that Shivbaba, the Supreme Father Supreme Soul is teaching knowledge [to us] through Prajapita Brahma? How will we come to know? You did not come to know this itself.

**Another student:** It is not so Baba. ...

**Baba:** Try to understand what she is saying and then tell [me].

**Another student:** Baba is teaching the demons as well as the deities, isn't He?

**Baba:** The knowledge of the Gita that God narrated, did He narrate it amidst the Kauravas, the Yadavas and the Pandavas and did all the three listen to it or did just the Pandavas listen to it? He narrated it to everyone. What is she asking? *Arey*, now you have started seeing that side. Understand what she is saying; understand the whole thing, what does she want to ask? This is what she wants to ask: how will we come to know that God the Father teaches [us] through this one? How will we come to know that God the Father is teaching knowledge through Prajapita Brahma? (Someone said: On the basis of the knowledge.)

**Baba:** The reply to this question has been given in the murli itself; well, those who do not read the murli daily, those who do not love murli raise the same question again and again. When He narrates the knowledge, then it is known that nobody else narrated such knowledge in the past. Even the knowledge that was narrated through Brahma was not narrated by anyone earlier. And even among those who became Brahmakumar-kumaris, those who

<sup>10</sup> Very deep stage of yoga

<sup>11</sup> A demon king who used to consider himself to be God

became Brahmins through Brahma, no such person emerged who might have narrated this kind of knowledge. He keeps explaining, narrating new *points* everyday. The other human souls narrate whatever they have heard. And God the Father does not narrate anything that He has heard; He doesn't narrate something that He has read in the scriptures; He keeps telling [us] new topics daily. So, what does it prove? No human soul can perform this task; only the soul of the Supreme Father Supreme Soul can perform this task.

**Time: 44.26-47.02**

**Baba:** A question has been asked: A Brahmin cannot give donation to other Brahmins. He can help them. What does it mean?

**Reply:** First you have to see whether there is benefit in giving donation to a complete Brahmin or to an incomplete Brahmin? *Neem hakime khatre jaan* (half knowledge is dangerous). If someone is an imperfect doctor, a quack and if you take his support to save someone's life, then will it bring harm or benefit? It will bring harm. Similarly, Brahma's day and Brahma's night is famous. Prajapita Brahma's day and night isn't famous. Whose [day and night] is famous? Brahma's night and Brahma's day is Brahmins' day and Brahmins' night. It is not famous about Prajapita. So, should Prajapita be placed in the category of Brahma or not? Prajapita Brahma's night is not famous. It means that Prajapita is always in knowledge; he cannot become ignorant; he cannot become the one with a doubting intellect. So, the one who cannot become the one with a doubting intellect, the one who is a complete Brahmin, the one who isn't an imperfect Brahmin; so, should the donation be given to one or to the ones who are number wise<sup>12</sup>? (Someone said: It should be given to the ones who are number wise). (Another student: It should be given to the one.)

**Baba:** Should it be given to the ones who are number wise? Only if you give donation to the perfect one will you become perfect. The one who makes [us] perfect is the Father; that Father gives a guarantee that who is responsible for whatever I speak, whatever I do through this body? The Father is responsible.

**Time: 47.47-50.18**

**Baba:** Seven *maharathis* (warriors) disarmed Abhimanyu and killed him. How does its unlimited shooting take place here?

**Reply:** Will the seven killers be *vidharmis*<sup>13</sup> or *swadharmis*<sup>14</sup>? All of them were *vidharmis*. And the name Abhimanyu itself means the one who has ego (*abhimaan*). [He thought:] Whose son am I? The one on whose chariot God Himself sits; what? The one on whose chariot God sits and teaches; the one whose guru is God; that God teaches *shastra vidya*<sup>15</sup> to me as well. Abhimanyu had this ego. And is ego good or bad? As long as the study is not over, it is not good to have ego. Did he study the complete knowledge in the womb or did he study the incomplete knowledge? He had studied the incomplete knowledge. It means that he did undergo *bhatti*, but he underwent incomplete *bhatti*. The *bhatti* wasn't complete. He did not take the complete knowledge; he grasped the incomplete knowledge. He did not have that much faith on knowledge. He just had yoga, i.e. love towards God as well as the father. Father means Prajapita and God means the incorporeal Supreme Father Supreme Soul Shiva. But the task is not completed just by this; he should grasp the knowledge completely as well as leave the ego. This is why he is deceived.

**Time: 50.52-52.56**

---

<sup>12</sup> According to their rank

<sup>13</sup> Those whose beliefs and practices are opposite to that set by the Father

<sup>14</sup> Those whose beliefs and practices are according to that set by the Father

<sup>15</sup> Art of using weapons

**Baba:** What is the difference between going into a *nil stage* and a soul conscious stage?

**Reply:** Does *nil stage* refer to a living *stage* or a *stage* of inert atmosphere? The *stage* of inert atmosphere is *nil stage*. And a soul is a shining living star; it is living (*caitanya*); living means that when it enters the body, it speaks as well as moves. Even when it does not enter the body, it moves. When the soul descends from the Supreme Abode, does it remain in the body or not? It does not. And what about the *nil stage*? The name of inert atmosphere is *nil stage*. This is why the point of light shining star should always remain in our awareness; it should be visible to us through the inner soul, through the intellect. This will be called the living soul conscious *stage*. Do those yogis know the form of the soul? Do the yogis of the path of *bhakti* recognize the form of the soul? They do not recognize at all. When they do not recognize at all, then how will they become constant in it? They become constant only in the *nil stage*. They end the living nature of the soul by controlling their inhalation (*shwaas*) and exhalation (*prashwaas*). But the soul is *ajar* (ageless), *amar* (eternal), *avinashi* (imperishable). It is not going to die. So, they are born with an even more inert intellect.

**Time: 53.05-59.19**

Student said something.

**Another student:** It has been 15 years since [a person] has been attending classes...

**Baba:** (To the first student :) You too, please listen to him, lest he says something wrong.

**Student:** ...Despite that they switch on the red light before the class; then they show Baba in the video and play the class.

**Baba:** Brahma Baba's [class]?

**Student:** The Father's. There are VCD classes, aren't there?

**Baba:** Of the *advance*, the *advance* classes?

**Another student:** Yes.

**Student:** They show the VCD as well; first they switch on the red light; can we go to that class or not? This is what he is asking.

**Baba:** Why? What is your problem with the red *light*? The Supreme Abode appears like the red *light*; for example, when the Sun rises in the morning, then red light spreads everywhere; similarly, will the light of the Sun of knowledge spread everywhere in the world or will there be any other light? When the Sun rises every morning, what kind of red light is there? There is red light. Red colour is an indicator of revolution. A revolution will take place in the entire world. There will be a great *revolution*. Then, will there be redness, will there be anger in everybody's eyes or will there be whiteness? Everyone will be angry numberwise<sup>16</sup>. Who will be angry the most?

**Another student:** The *vidharmis*.

**Baba:** Who? Shankar? The *vidharmis*? Does it mean will not Rudra, who takes on the fierce (*raudra*) form, take on a ferocious form? He will take on the most ferocious form. This is why which colour has been shown in the picture of the flag? (Everyone said: Red.) A ferocious form has been shown. Did he take on a ferocious form for the sake of truth or did he take on a dangerous form for *adharmas* (irreligiousness)? He took it for truth. Even if you have to take on a ferocious form to establish truth, to establish the Golden Age, then it is not bad. This is also the *part* of one personality among the Trimurty (three personalities).

Does a soul play the *part* of the three personalities or does it play the *part* of just one personality? The one which plays the *part* of three personalities itself is called the soul. This is why there is no question of hating the red *light*. And as regards Baba's voice that is played on TV... one thing is to concentrate on the inert picture of Baba and [the other thing is] to practice *concentration* when you sit in remembrance, do you get the vibrations of the

---

<sup>16</sup> According to their stage



Supreme Father Supreme Soul Shiva in both the *stages* or not? (Someone said: We get it.) No. You don't get the vibrations. But an image [of the atmosphere] of the vibrations at the time when the *vani* was narrated is captured in the *video*. And the vibrations of the seed form stage, when the *drishti* was given that image of that atmosphere of *drishti* is captured in that *video*. Though the stage cannot be like the time when there is a live class, when God the Father comes in a corporeal form, narrates the knowledge in practice and enables [us to practice] yoga, it will still be called *good second class*. For example, it has been said in the *murli*: [the *vani*] that you read from papers, the *vani* that is read out from the paper will be called a *third class vani*. The *vani* that is heard on the tape recorder will be called *second class* because whatever has been spoken will be played accurately on it. Nothing is mixed in it. And there is a little *vibration* in the *video*. That living atmosphere cannot exist. Yet the inert image [of the atmosphere] is better [than the rest]. The expressions can be seen. Om Shanti.